THE STRUCTURE OF NECTARIES IN THE GENUS *STROMBOCACTUS* (CACTACEAE)

LA ESTRUCTURA DE LOS NECTARIOS EN EL GÉNERO *STROMBOCACTUS* (CACTACEAE)

ALDEBARAN CAMACHO-VELÁZQUEZ¹, SALVADOR ARIAS², JUDITH MÁRQUEZ-GUZMÁN¹, AND SONIA VÁZQUEZ-SANTANA¹. *

¹ Laboratorio de Desarrollo en Plantas. Departamento de Biología Comparada, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México.

² Jardín Botánico, Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México.

*Corresponding author: svs@ciencias.unam.mx

Abstract

Background: Floral nectar is offered by the plant to its pollinators to promote cross-fertilization.

Questions: Are floral nectaries morpho-anatomically similar among the taxa of *Strombocactus*? What sugars do nectaries offer their pollinators?

Studied species: *Strombocactus disciformis* subsp. *disciformis*, *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae* and *S. corregidora*, during 2016-2018.

Methods: Bud flowers and flowers in anthesis from each taxon of *Strombocactus*, were processed to be observed in SEM; other specimens were embedded in Paraplast and sectioned to histochemical tests. The concentration of nectar was measured using a refractometer.

Results: The nectaries are similar among the three studied taxa, located below the stamens and reach the upper part of the ovary forming a ring in the hypanthium. An almost flat epidermis constitutes the nectary in *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae*, although in *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis* is slightly bulked and papillae in *S. corregidora*, a nectariferous parenchyma composed of metabolically very active cells, and a subnectariferous parenchyma of larger cells, associated with vascular bundles. The nectar is secreted through nectarostomata. The concentration of nectar was 8.65 ± 3.98 , 16.12 ± 4.48 and 22.09 ± 7.42 °Brix for *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae*, *S. corregidora* and *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis*, respectively.

Conclusions: All taxa of *Strombocactus* have an annular-type nectary that secretes a low volume of nectar and together with pollen are offered as rewards. Based on other floral characteristics observed, such as the diurnal anthesis, the infundibuliform shape and the color of the flower, we propose that bees pollinate these species.

Keywords: floral nectaries, nectar, nectarostomata, nectary chamber.

Resumen

Antecedentes: El néctar ofrecido por parte de la planta a sus polinizadores, promueve la entrecruza.

Preguntas: ¿Los nectarios florales de los taxones de *Strombocactus* son morfo-anatómicamente similares? ¿Qué azúcares ofrecen los nectarios a sus polinizadores?

Especies de estudio: *Strombocactus disciformis* subsp. *disciformis*, *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae* y *S. corregidora*, durante 2016-2018.

Métodos: Botones florales y flores en anthesis de cada taxón de *Strombocactus* se procesaron para ser observados en MEB o ser incluidos en paraplast para realizar pruebas histoquímicas. La concentración del néctar se midió con un refractómetro.

Resultados: Los nectarios de los tres taxones son semejantes, se ubican abajo de la inserción de los estambres y llegan hasta la parte superior del ovario formando un anillo en el hipantio. Los nectarios tienen una epidermis casi plana en *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae*, ligeramente abultada en *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis* y papilosa en *S. corregidora*, un parénquima nectarífero de células metabólicamente activas y un parénquima subnectarífero de células grandes, asociado a haces vasculares. El néctar se secreta mediante nectarostomas. La concentración del néctar fue de 8.65 ± 3.98 , 16.12 ± 4.48 y 22.09 ± 7.42 °Brix para *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae*, *S. corregidora* y *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis*, respectivamente.

Conclusiones: Todos los taxones de *Strombocactus* tienen un nectario tipo anular que secreta un bajo volumen de néctar y, junto con el polen se ofrecen como recompensas. Basándonos en atributos florales observados, como la anthesis diurna, la forma infundibuliforme y el color de la flor, proponemos que las abejas polinizan estas especies.

Palabras clave: Cámara nectarial, néctar, nectarios florales, nectarostoma.

Plant-pollinator interactions are reciprocal, as the pollinator has a direct effect in the plant and vice-versa. The floral features, such as color, size and shape are closely related to the pollinator attraction, due to the rewards offered by the plant (pollen, oils, fragrances, resins and nectar), in the process, the plant receives or donates pollen with every visit (Grajales-Conesa *et al.* 2011, Domínguez & Pérez 2013). The nectar is produced in nectaries located inside and/or outside the flowers. The nectaries located in any part of the flower are called floral nectaries, while those developed on vegetative structures of the plant are called extrafloral nectaries (Bernardello 2007). Floral nectaries are directly associated with pollination and reproductive efficiency (Richards 1986, Pacini *et al.* 2003, Irwin *et al.* 2004, Díaz-Castelazo *et al.* 2005, Villamil *et al.* 2013). On the other hand, extrafloral nectaries are generally associated to mutualist relationships among plants and animals, mainly insects providing protection against herbivores and receiving sugars as a reward (Heil & McKey 2003, Leins & Erbar 2010).

The nectaries produce sugar-rich fluids (mainly saccharose, glucose and fructose), also contain proteins (mainly enzymes like transglucosidases and transfructosidases), amino acids, lipids, organic acids, ascorbic acid, minerals, phosphates, alkaloids and vitamins (Fahn 1979, 1988, Leins & Erbar 2010). The nectaries can be composed of structural tissues (nectariferous parenchyma cells and glandular tissue associated with vascular bundles) or a group of glands (formed by secretory trichomes on the epidermis). Glandular tissues are composed of secretory parenchyma, epidermis (which can also be secretory) and a nearby vascular bundle (Pacini *et al.* 2003, Pacini & Nicolson 2007). If the epidermis is not one of the secretory tissues, then the nectar comes out of gaps in the cellular wall and cuticle, or through stomata that have lost the capacity of closing the pore because the guard cells are no longer contracting and lack chlorophyll (Leins & Erbar 2010). The cuticle in the nectary can be permeable through various structures, like pores or microchannels secreting nectar, or it can burst due to the pressure of nectar itself (Fahn 1979, Nepi 2007).

Cactaceae usually present floral nectaries, although some species have also extrafloral nectaries, mainly associated to areoles in stems (Buxbaum 1953), like in *Opuntia stricta* (Oliveira *et al.* 1999), *Cylindropuntia acanthocarpa* (Pickett & Clark 1979), *Sclerocactus scheeri* (Mauseth 1982), *Ferocactus histrix* (Del Castillo 1994) and *F. cylindraceus* subsp. *lecontei* (Ruffner & Clark 1986). Although the floral nectaries are present in the studied species of Cactaceae, the presence of nectar and characterization of nectaries have not been thoroughly proved and described. Most studies carried out on Cactaceae regarding floral nectaries provide information on their location and morphology, even though some of them deepen in their structure, anatomy, way of secreting nectar and chemical composition of sugars and other substances related to the process of pollination and their pollinator types, e.g. Hylocereae and Rhipsalideae (Almeida *et al.* 2013), *Polaskia chende*, *P. chichipe* and *Stenocereus quevedonis* (Gudiño *et al.* 2015). Three different types of nectaries have been proposed for Cactaceae: a) Chamber; b) Annular, and c)

Furrow nectaries (Buxbaum 1953), although this classification does not cover all the types of nectaries of the species within the family. For example, Fuentes-Pérez *et al.* (2009) studied five species of the genus *Opuntia* and they propose that the term “furrow” not apply to the type of nectaries they have. Therefore, the objective of the herein presented work is to study the location, morphology, anatomy and secretion of nectar in the floral nectaries of *Strombocactus*, an endemic genus of Mexico in risk of extinction.

The genus *Strombocactus* belong to the tribe Cacteae in the Cactoideae subfamily (Anderson 2001). It was described originally as *Mammillaria* by De Candolle (1828) and was later proposed as a monotypic genus by Britton & Rose (1922), *Strombocactus disciformis* (DC.) Britton & Rose. Currently, two species are recognized, *S. disciformis* (DC.) Britton & Rose (with the subspecies *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis* and *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae* Glass & S. Arias), and *S. corregidora* S. Arias & E. Sánchez (Arias & Sánchez-Martínez 2010). These species are distributed in a canyon system belonging to the states of Querétaro, Guanajuato, and Hidalgo (Hernández *et al.* 2004, Sánchez-Martínez *et al.* 2006). The species of *Strombocactus* have similar habitat: small rock crevices filled with fine soil, on steep slopes and vertical walls, and emerge in places with calcareous shales, preferably in areas devoid of vegetation or sparsely vegetated (Álvarez *et al.* 2004, Arias & Sánchez-Martínez 2010).

Materials and methods

Studied species. Twenty floral buds and 20 flowers in anthesis were analyzed from a minimum of 10 different individuals of every species of *Strombocactus*, during February and March of 2016 and 2017. Buds and flowers of *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis* and *S. corregidora* were collected in the municipality of Cadereyta de Montes, Querétaro. We did not find *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae* in their natural population in the municipality of Xichú, Guanajuato, for that buds and flowers were collected from the living collections of the Botanical Garden in the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM) and the Regional Botanical Garden of Cadereyta, Querétaro. The samples were fixed in FAA (formaldehyde, ethylic alcohol 96 %, glacial acetic acid, distilled water; ratios 10:50:5:35, respectively) for 48 hours.

Floral morphology. The color and shape flower to each species was based on botanical descriptions. The anthesis type (diurnal/nocturnal) was described based on personal observations in the field and in the greenhouse in at least 30 flowers of each taxon.

Light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy. To know the anatomy of the floral nectaries and their micromorphology, the fixed material in FAA was washed with water to eliminate the excess of fixative, dissected and dehydrated in a gradual ethanol series (from 30 to 100 %) and embedded in Paraplast. The embedded material was cut in sections of 6 - 10 µm thick with an American Optical 820 rotatory

microtome and stained with safranin and fast green. The obtained preparations were observed with an Olympus Provis AX70 microscope and microphotographs were taken. For nectary micromorphology, several flowers were dissected and dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (from 30 to 100 %). Afterwards, they were critically-point dried with CO₂ in a critical-point dryer CPD-030 Bal-Tec, and then mounted on metallic sample holders with a carbon conducting tape and later covered in gold with a Denton Vacuum Desk-II ionizer so that they could be observed and photographed with the scanning electron microscope JSM-5310LV (Tokyo, Japan). Some of the photographs were artificially colored with the Adobe Photoshop CS6 software.

Histochemical tests. Four tests were carried out on the obtained sections of the embedded material in Paraplast: (1) Oil red “O” for lipidic reserves, (2) Periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) for insoluble polysaccharides, (3) Naphthol Blue Black - PAS for proteins and insoluble polysaccharides, respectively, and (4) Lugol for starch (López-Curto *et al.* 2005, Márquez-Guzmán *et al.* 2016). The obtained preparations in every histochemical sample were observed in an Olympus Provis AX70 microscope and microphotographies were taken.

Nectar concentration. The collection of nectar was carried out in February 2018 in plants of the living collection of the Botanical Garden (UNAM), for the three studied taxa. The samples of nectar were obtained at 3 pm from 15 flowers of different individuals of each taxon of *Strombocactus*. The extraction of nectar was done with an insulin syringe (commercial brand) and the concentration was measured using a refractometer Brix30 (Spectrum Technologies, Inc.). Degrees Brix are used as the measuring unit of dissolved solids, which correspond to the percentage of saccharose in a solution. One degree Brix is 1 gram of saccharose dissolved in 100 grams of solution. Therefore, a solution with 50 °Brix has 50 grams of saccharose (Suárez & Diana 2003).

Results

Floral morphology. The flowers of the three taxa of *Strombocactus* are infundibuliform and diurnal. The units of the perianth (tepals) of *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis* are white to yellowish white, abaxially magenta at the midvein (Figure 1A, B). The tepals in *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae* are intense magenta, slightly paler towards the margins (Figure 1C, D). The units of the perianth in *S. corregidora* can be intense or pale yellow, with reddish tones on the underside of the tepals (Figure 1E, F).

Location and morphoanatomy of the floral nectary. The three taxa present a small and open chamber nectary, which is limited to the proximal end of the inner side of the receptacular tube (Figures 2A, D, G; 3A, D, G). The nectary is located underneath the insertion region of the innermost filaments of the perianth, specifically in the hypanthium. It presents a ring shape and in the upper region its ends are free and exerted showing widened and undulated protuberances, which lon-

Figure 1. Flowers of *Strombocactus*. A, B. *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis*. C, D. *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae*. E, F. *S. corregidora*. A. Flower in anthesis. B. Flowers at early anthesis, the outer tepals with slight magenta color in the underside of the limb (arrows). C. Flower in anthesis. D. Flowers at the beginning of anthesis. E. Flower in anthesis. F. Flower at the end of anthesis, outer tepals slightly reddish on the underside (arrows).

gitudinally appear corniculate (Figure 3A, D, G), and more conspicuous in *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae* (Figure 3D). The subnectariferous parenchyma is directly associated with vascular bundles that are parallel in the hypanthium, in the upper region of the ovary, and perpendicular to the nectary (Figure 3A, D, G). Underneath the epidermis there are approximately 7-12 layers of parenchymatic secretory cells, and several layers of associated parenchymatic, non-secretory cells, which are connected to the adjacent secretory cells; on the other end are located the vascular bundles (Figure 3A, D, G).

The nectary of the three taxa of *Strombocactus* is composed of an epidermis of isodiametric cells, slightly bulked towards the exterior (Figure 2B, E, H), being more bulked in *S. corregidora* (Figure 2H) and less in *S. disciformis* subsp.

Figure 2. Micromorphology of the nectary in *Strombocactus*. A-C. *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis*. D-F. *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae*. G-I. *S. corregidora*. A, D, G. Front view of the nectary chamber (close-up). The secretory tissue is a ring underneath the insertion of the innermost filaments. The ring has an apical protuberance (arrows). B, E, H. Close-up of the epidermis of the nectary chamber; nectarostomata are observed (arrowheads); the epidermal cells are swollen in *S. corregidora* (H) and less swollen in *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae* (E); remains of the secretions are observed on the epidermal cells (dotted circles). C, F, I. Close-up of nectarostomata, which are open before anthesis; striated ornamentation is observed in the cuticle (arrowhead). N, nectary; S, style. Scale bars: A, D, G = 200 μ m; B, E, H = 40 μ m; C, F, I = 10 μ m.

esperanzae (Figure 2E). Throughout the epidermis, there are several open stomata prior and during the anthesis, which is the secretory period of nectar, showing sugar remains even after the process of fixation, washing and dehydration of the material during the SEM process (Figure 2B, C, E, F, H, I). The cuticle of the epidermal cells exhibits slightly striated ornamentation (Figure 2C, F, I). The epidermis is unistratified, with vacuolated cells and thin walls (Figure 3B, E, F, H), under the stomata, there are large substomatal chambers (Figures 3C, I; 4F, G; 6B).

Under the epidermis there is the nectariferous secretory parenchyma (Figures 3B, E, F, H; 4C, E, N; 5E, H, K; 6F, N), which comprise isodiametric cells, small and very compact, with thin walls, large and central or eccentric nucleus, patent vacuoles and dense cytoplasm; there are also some intercellular spaces (Figures 3C, F; 5F, L; 6G, O). The secretory tissue of the nectary is 10-12 layers thick in *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis* (Figures 3B; 4E, F); 8-9 in *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae* (Figures 3E, F; 5E, H) and 7-8 in *S. corregidora* (3H; 6F, N). The subnectariferous parenchyma is subjacent to the nectariferous secretory parenchyma, whose cells are distinguished for being larger than the ones of the nectariferous parenchyma (Figures 3B, E, H; 4E, N; 5E, H, K; 6F, I, N). These cells present thin walls, conspicuous vacuoles, poorly dense cytoplasm and eccentric nucleus (Figures 3F; 4E, N; 6N). This parenchyma presents intercellular spaces and abundant nearby vascular bundles, with both xylem and phloem (Figures 4D, E, M, N; 5E; 6F, N).

Histochemical tests. The test of oil red “O” for cuticle of the epidermal cells in the nectary of the three species of *Strombocactus* was positive (Figures 4 A-C; 5A-C; 6A-C), due to the waxy compounds it presents, although no other structure that presented lipidic compounds (Table 1).

With the PAS test applied on flowers prior and during anthesis of the three taxa, there were abundant insoluble polysaccharides in the cellular walls and the cytoplasm of the nectariferous parenchyma, indicating its secretory features, and they were scarce in the subnectariferous parenchyma (Figures 4D-G, I; 5D-F; 6D-G; Table 1). These compounds were also abundant in the style parenchyma and the upper region of the ovary (Figures 4H; 5D; 6D). The presence of starch in the style and non-nectariferous parenchyma of the hypanthium was corroborated with the Lugol test (Figures 4J, K; 5G, H; 6H, I), which could suggest a storage function of this tissue. A distinctive Maltese cross was observed under polarized light, which corroborates the presence of starch in these tissues (Figures 4L; 5I; 6J).

After the Naphthol Blue Black - PAS test for proteins and insoluble polysaccharides, all three taxa presented high amounts of proteins in the cytoplasm of the secretory cells compared to those of the subnectariferous parenchyma (Figures 4M-O; 5J-L; 6K-O; Table 1), which indicate greater activity in the secretory tissue.

Concentration of nectar. The secretion of nectar in the species of *Strombocactus* is too little to be quantified, even though it can be observed under stereoscopy (Figure S1).

Figure 3. Longitudinal sections of flowers in anthesis of *Strombocactus*. A-C. *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis*. D-F. *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae*. G-I. *S. corregidora*. A, D, G. The nectary below the insertion region of the innermost filaments, the arrows indicate the location of the nectary, the arrowheads indicate the vascular bundles. B, E, H. Both the nectariferous and subnectariferous parenchyma, the arrowheads indicate vascular bundles. C, I. Sections of nectarostomata, showing the subnectarostomic cavity (arrow), intercellular space (arrowheads). F. Enhancement of E; secretory cells with intercellular spaces (arrowheads). E, epidermis; F, filament; Np, nectariferous parenchyma; O, ovary; Sc, secretory cells; Sp, subnectariferous parenchyma; St, style. Scale bars: A, D, G = 200 µm; B, E = 100 µm; C, I = 12 µm; F, H = 40 µm.

The average concentration of solutes in the nectar of *S. corregidora* was 16.12 ± 4.48 °Brix, in *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis* was 22.09 ± 7.42 °Brix and in *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae* was 8.65 ± 3.98 °Brix.

Discussion

The nectaries of *Strombocactus* had not been thoroughly studied before. Only Buxbaum (1953) mentioned information regarding *S. disciformis*. In the genus *Strombocactus*, the nectary forms a ring which is located surrounding the base of the style, below the insertion region of the innermost series of filaments. Therefore, the nectary chamber is part of the hypanthium and collects the nectar, which together with the pollen itself are the greatest rewards for pollinators (Simpson & Neff 1983, Proctor *et al.* 1996, Domínguez & Pérez 2013).

According to the classification of Bernardello (2007), the nectary in the species of *Strombocactus* corresponds to a hypanthial nectary, due to its location underneath the insertion of the innermost filaments, surrounding the style. According to the classification of Buxbaum (1953), three types of nectary (chamber, disc, and furrow) are recognized for different Cactaceae. Chamber type is found in some genera of Cactoideae (Buxbaum 1953, Fuentes-Pérez 2004, Urías 2009, Almeida *et al.* 2013, Torres-Sánchez 2013, Gudiño *et al.* 2015), while the nectary type disc has been reported for some species of *Maihueiopsis*, *Opuntia* and *Maihueia* (Fuentes-Pérez 2008). The furrow nectary has a half-open or closed chamber due the curved base of the innermost stamens or by a protuberance of the receptacular hypanthial tissue (Buxbaum 1953), and it has been reported for some species of the tribes: Rhipsalideae (Almeida *et al.* 2013), Trichocereae and Cacteae, including *Strombocactus* (Buxbaum 1953). However,

Table 1. Histochemical tests in the nectary of the genus *Strombocactus*. NBB-PAS, Naphthol Blue Black-Periodic acid-Schiff; Np, nectariferous parenchyma; PAS, Periodic acid-Schiff; Sp, subnectariferous parenchyma. Test was positive (+) or negative (-).

Taxon	<i>S. disciformis</i> subsp. <i>disciformis</i>		<i>S. disciformis</i> subsp. <i>esperanzae</i>		<i>S. corregidora</i>	
Histochemical tests / Tissue type	Np	Sp	Np	Sp	Np	Sp
Oil red "O"	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAS	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lugol	-	-	-	-	-	-
NBB-PAS	+	+	+	+	+	+

Figure 4. Histochemical tests of the nectary of *S. disciformis* subsp. *disciformis*. Longitudinal (A-C, H-J, L, O) and transversal (D-G, K, M, N) sections. A-C. Oil red “O” test. Only the cuticle presented lipids (arrows). D-I. The test of Periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) was positive for insoluble polysaccharides in nectary, style and superior wall of the ovary. The arrowheads indicate vascular bundles. G. A nectarostome. J, K. Lugol test was positive for starch in the style and non-nectariferous parenchyma of the hypanthium. The arrowheads indicate vascular bundles. L. The Maltese cross was observed with polarized light, which indicates the presence of starch in the same sites as the lugol test. The arrowheads indicate vascular bundles. M-O. Naphtol Blue Black-PAS test positive for proteins and insoluble polysaccharides in nectary. E, epidermis; N, nectary; Ne, nectarostome; Sc, secretory cells; Sp, subectariferous parenchyma; St, style. Scale bars: A, D, E, N = 50 µm; B, F, K = 25 µm; C, G = 12 µm; H, I, J, L, M, O = 100 µm.

based on our results the term “furrow” cannot be used to describe the nectary because the innermost stamens are neither curved nor forming a protuberance, as in *Hatiora gaertneri*, *Lepismium cruciforme* and *L. warmingianum* (Almeida *et al.* 2013). The three taxa of *Strombocactus* present a ring with bulky protuberances in the upper edge, which corroborates this structure as an annular nectary based on our results, similar to that of *Rhipsalis* (Almeida *et al.* 2013). We also consider essential to revise once again the type of nectary present in the genera related to *Strombocactus* (e.g., *Turbinicarpus s.s.*, *Ariocarpus*, *Epithelantha*; Vázquez-Sánchez *et al.* 2013), as the flowers are equally small, with short receptacles, which could eventually be a feature of taxonomic importance.

As for the anatomy, the nectary of the species of *Strombocactus* is composed of epidermis with nectarostomata, a nectariferous parenchyma with numerous vascular bundles associated to the subnectariferous parenchyma. This arrangement and features of the subtending cells of the epidermis are typical of the floral nectaries described in some species of *Cylindropuntia*, *Opuntia*, *Pterocactus*, *Pereskioopsis*, *Maihuenia*, *Maihueniopsis*, *Tephrocactus*, *Tunilla* (Fuentes-Pérez 2008), *Epiphyllum* (Almeida *et al.* 2010, 2013), *Neobuxbaumia* (Torres-Sánchez 2013; currently known as *Cephalocereus*, Tapia *et al.* 2017), and *Stenocereus* (Urias 2009).

It is noteworthy that the epidermal cells on nectarial tissue

Figure 5. Histochemical tests of the nectary of *S. disciformis* subsp. *esperanzae*. Longitudinal sections. A-C. Oil red “O” test, in which the arrows indicate the cuticle with positive result for waxes. The arrowheads indicate a vascular bundle. D-F. Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) test was positive for insoluble polysaccharides in the nectary, style and superior wall of the ovary. The arrow indicates a vascular bundle. The arrowheads indicate intercellular spaces. G, H. Lugol test, was positive for starch in the style and non-nectariferous parenchyma located in the hypanthium. The arrows indicate vascular bundles. I. Under polarized light, starch grains appear bright showing the Maltese cross in the same sites in which the lugol test was positive. The arrows indicate vascular bundles. J-L. Naphthol Blue Black - PAS test was positive for proteins and insoluble polysaccharides in the nectary. The arrow indicates a vascular bundle. The arrowheads indicate intercellular spaces. E, epidermis; N, nectary; Sc, secretory cells; Sp, subnectariferous parenchyma; St, style. Scale bars: A, D, G, I, J = 80 µm; B, E, H, K = 40 µm; C, F, L = 16 µm.

of the three taxa of *Strombocactus* does not show tannins, as in other species of columnar cactus like *Escontria chiotilla*, *Cephalocereus mezcalaensis*, *Stenocereus pruinosus* (Fuentes-Pérez 2004), *C. tetetzo*, *C. columna-trajani* (Torres-Sánchez 2013) and some species of *Opuntia* and *Tephrocactus* (Fuentes-Pérez 2008). The latter genera have large flowers, with more open floral tubes developing on stems with more magnificent exposition to solar radiation, in contrast with the taxa of *Strombocactus*, whose size barely surpasses the soil and is many times found in association with a nurse plant.

The nectar in the species of *Strombocactus* is secreted through modified stomata called nectarostomata, which cannot regulate its opening or closure (Nepi 2007). The discharge of nectar through nectarostomata is the most common way of secretion. According to Leins & Erbar (2010), these are stomata whose occlusive cells lack chloroplasts and are not functional in gas exchange, and they are present in many dicotyledons (Bernardello 2007). The nectar secreted by nectarostomata is frequent in Cactaceae (Fuentes-Pérez 2004, Almeida *et al.* 2013, Torres-Sánchez 2013, Gudiño

et al. 2015). It has also been reported the secretion of nectar through secretory trichomes in *Disocactus ackermannii*, *Epiphyllum guatemalense* and *Hylocereus undatus* (Almeida *et al.* 2013), across pores in *Polaskia chichipe*, and through fissures in the cuticle in *P. chende* (Gudiño *et al.* 2015).

During the study of the species of *Strombocactus* and given the density of the cytoplasm, size of the vacuoles, large nucleus and numerous intercellular spaces in the nectariferous parenchyma (secretory cells), the tissue is considered to present merocrine secretion, meaning that the cells remain alive during this process without disintegrating tissue (Fahn 1979, Nepi 2007). The Naphthol Blue Black test indicates great metabolic activity of these cells, as it proves the presence of abundant proteins. On the other hand, starch was not detected, probably because this is not a storage tissue and because carbohydrates break down rapidly to produce nectar or other components, which is the case of *Platanthera chlorantha* (Orchidaceae, Stpiczynska *et al.* 2005).

Regarding the characteristics of the nectar in *Strombocactus* species (low volume and percentage of saccharose < 33 %),

Figure 6. Histochemical tests of the nectary of *S. corregidora*. Longitudinal (A, B, D, E, H-M) and transversal (C, F, G, N, O) sections. A-C. Oil red “O” test, in which the arrows indicate the cuticle which was positive for the presence of waxes. B. Enhancement of a nectarostome. D-G. Periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) test was positive for insoluble polysaccharides in the nectary, style and upper region of the ovary. The arrows indicate vascular bundles. The arrowheads indicate intercellular spaces. H-I. Lugol test was positive for starch in style and non-nectariferous parenchyma located in the hypanthium. The arrow indicates a vascular bundle. J. The Maltese cross was observed under polarized light, indicating the presence of starch in the same places as in the lugol test. The arrow indicates a vascular bundle. K-O. Naphthol Blue Black - PAS test was positive for proteins and insoluble polysaccharides in the nectary. The arrows indicate vascular bundles, the arrowheads, intercellular spaces. E, epidermis; N, nectary; Ne, nectarostome; Sc, secretory cells; Sp, subnectariferous parenchyma; St, style. Scale bars: A, C, E, I, L = 40 μ m; B, G, M, O = 16 μ m; D, H, J, K = 100 μ m; F, N = 20 μ m.

it is necessary detailed analysis its chemical composition and its temporal production dynamics to generate a more concrete idea of how these characteristics are associated with pollinators as suggested by Baker & Baker (1983, 1990), Cruden *et al.* (1983) and Nicolson & Thornburg (2007) in other species. However, based on floral characteristics of the three *Strombocactus* taxa studied, as diurnal anthesis, infundibular shape flower, color flower, nectar and pollen as rewards, these attributes indicate that they can be pollinated by bees, as proposed by Faegri & Van Der Pijl (1979), Fenster *et al.* (2004) and Domínguez & Pérez (2013) based on the flower features associated with the pollination syndromes.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the DGAPA-PAPIIT Program (IN-223814) and CONACyT (101771) to SVS. This paper constitutes a partial fulfillment of the Graduate Program in Biological Sciences of the National Autonomous University of México (UNAM) for A. Camacho-Velázquez, who acknowledges scholarship and financial support provided by the National Council of Science and Technology (CONACyT), and UNAM. We are grateful to Silvia Espinosa Matías and Ana Isabel Bieler for technical support in the Scanning Electron Microscopy and Light microscopy pictures.

To Jerónimo Reyes and Gabriel Olalde (JB-IB-UNAM), Emiliano Sánchez M. and Magdalena Hernández M. (JBR Cadereyta), Patricia Olguín Santos (FC-UNAM) y Daniel Sánchez Carbajal (CONACyT-U de G) for their help with the biological collections. We are also grateful to Estela Sandoval Z., Mercedes Castro L. and the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments of the manuscript.

Literature cited

- Almeida OJG, Cota-Sánchez JH, Paoli AAS. 2013. The systematic significance of floral morphology, nectaries, and nectar concentration in epiphytic cacti of tribes Hylocereeae and Rhipsalideae (Cactaceae). *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* **15**: 255-268. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2013.08.001>
- Almeida OJG, Sartori-Paoli AA, Souza LA. 2010. Flower morphology-anatomy in *Epiphyllum phyllanthus* (Cactaceae). *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* **81**: 65-80. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22201/ib.20078706e.2010.001.185>
- Álvarez R, Godínez-Álvarez H, Guzmán U, Dávila P. 2004. Aspectos ecológicos de dos cactáceas mexicanas amenazadas: implicaciones para su conservación. *Boletín de la Sociedad Botánica de México* **75**: 7-16. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.17129/botsoci.1690>
- Anderson EF. 2001. The Cactus Family. Oregon: Timber Press Portland. ISBN: 978-0881924985
- Arias S, Sánchez-Martínez E. 2010. Una especie nueva de *Strombocactus* (Cactaceae) del río Moctezuma Querétaro, México. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* **81**: 619-624. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22201/ib.20078706e.2010.003.633>
- Baker HG, Baker I. 1983. Floral nectar sugar constituents in relation to pollinator type. In: Jones CE, Little RJ, eds. *Handbook of Experimental Pollination Biology*. New York: Scientific Academic Editions, 117-141. ISBN: 0-442-2467-5
- Baker HG, Baker I. 1990. The predictive value of nectar chemistry to the recognition of pollinator types. *Israel Journal of Botany* **39**: 157-166. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1080/0021213X.1990.10677140>
- Bernardello G. 2007. A systematic survey of floral nectaries. In: Nicolson SW, Nepi M, Pacini E, eds. *Nectaries and Nectar*. New York: Springer, 19-128. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4020-5937-7; ISBN: 978-1-4020-5936-0
- Britton NL, Rose JN. 1922. *The Cactaceae*, third vol. Washington DC: The Carnegie Institution of Washington. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.46288>
- Buxbaum F. 1953. *Morphology of Cacti*. Section II: Morphology of the Flower. Pasadena: Abbey Garden Press, 93-170.
- Cruden RW, Hermann SM, Peterson S. 1983. Patterns of nectar production and plant pollinator coevolution. In: Bentley B, Elias T, eds. *The Biology of Nectaries*. New York: Columbia University Press, 80-125. ISBN-13: 978-0231044462
- De Candolle AP. 1828. Revue de la famille des cactées. *Mémoires du Muséum D'Histoire Naturelle* **17**: 1-119.
- Del Castillo RF. 1994. Polinización y otros aspectos de la biología floral de *Ferocactus histrix*. *Cactáceas y Suculentas Mexicanas* **39**: 36-42.
- Díaz-Castelazo C, Rico-Gray V, Ortega F, Ángeles G. 2005. Morphological and secretory characterization of extrafloral nectaries in plants of coastal Veracruz, México. *Annals of Botany* **96**: 1175-1189. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci270>
- Domínguez C, Pérez JR. 2013. La biología de la polinización. En: Márquez-Guzmán J, Collazo-Ortega M, Martínez-Gordillo M, Orozco-Segovia A, Vázquez-Santana S, eds. *Biología de Angiospermas*. Ciudad de México: Prensas de la Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM, 101-109. ISBN: 9786070227059
- Faegri K, Van Der Pijl L. 1979. *The Principles of Pollination Ecology*. Oxford: Pergamon. ISBN: 978-0-08-023160-0
- Fahn A. 1979. Ultrastructure of nectaries in relation to nectar secretion. *American Journal of Botany* **66**: 977-985. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.2307/2442240>
- Fahn A. 1988. Secretory tissues in vascular plants. *New Phytologist* **108**(3): 229-257. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1988.tb04159.x>
- Fenster CB, Armbruster WS, Wilson P, Dudash MR, Thomson JD. 2004. Pollination syndromes and floral specialization. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **35**: 375-403. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132347>
- Fuentes-Pérez M. 2004. *Anatomía floral de siete especies de la tribu Pachycereeae (Cactaceae)*. BSc Thesis, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.
- Fuentes-Pérez M. 2008. *Estudio comparativo de la anatomía floral en Opuntioideae K. Schum. (Cactaceae)*. MSc Thesis, Institución de Enseñanza e Investigación en Ciencias Agrícolas. Colegio de Posgraduados.
- Fuentes-Pérez M, Terrazas T, Arias S. 2009. Anatomía floral de cinco especies de *Opuntia* (Opuntioideae, Cactaceae) de México. *Polibotánica* **27**: 89-102.
- Grajales-Conesa J, Meléndez-Ramírez V, Cruz-López L. 2011. Aromas florales y su interacción con los insectos polinizadores. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* **82**: 1356-1367. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22201/ib.20078706e.2011.4.762>
- Gudiño W, Márquez-Guzmán J, de la Barrera E. 2015. Structural implications for nectar secretion by nectaries of three columnar cacti. *Maejo International Journal of Science and Technology* **9**: 156-164. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.14456/mijst.2015.13>
- Heil M, McKey D. 2003. Protective ant-plant interactions as model systems in ecological and evolutionary research. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **34**: 425-453. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132410>
- Hernández HM, Gómez-Hinostrosa C, Goettsch B. 2004. Checklist of Chihuahuan Desert Cactaceae. *Harvard papers in Botany* **9**: 51-68.
- Irwin RE, Adler LS, Brody AK. 2004. The dual role of floral traits: pollinator attraction and plant defense. *Ecology* **85**: 1503-1511. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1890/03-0390>
- Leins P, Erbar C. 2010. *Flower and Fruit: Morphology, Ontogeny, Phylogeny, Function and Ecology*. Stuttgart: Schweizerbart Science Publishers. ISBN-13: 978-3510652617
- López-Curto ML, Márquez-Guzmán J, Murguía-Sánchez G. 2005. *Técnicas para el Estudio del Desarrollo en Angiospermas*. Ciudad de México: Prensas de la Facultad de Ciencias,

- UNAM. ISBN: 968-36-4822-3
- Márquez-Guzmán J, Wong R, Pérez M, López L, Murguía G. 2016. *Técnicas de Laboratorio para el Estudio del Desarrollo en Angiospermas*. Ciudad de México: Prensas de la Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM. ISBN: 9786070282522
- Mauseth DF. 1982. Development and ultrastructure of extrafloral nectaries in *Ancistrocactus scheeri* (Cactaceae). *Botanical Gazette* **143**: 273-277. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1086/337300>
- Nepi M. 2007. Nectary structure and ultrastructure. In: Nicolson SW, Nepi M, Pacini E, eds. *Nectaries and Nectar*. New York: Springer, 129-166. ISBN: 978-90-481-7481-2
- Nicolson SW, Thornburg R. 2007. Nectar chemistry. In: Nicolson SW, Nepi M, Pacini E, eds. *Nectaries and Nectar*. New York: Springer, 215-264. ISBN: 978-90-481-7481-2
- Oliveira PS, Rico-Gray V, Díaz-Castelazo C, Castillo-Guevara C. 1999. Interaction between ants, extrafloral nectaries and insect herbivores in Neotropical coastal sand dunes: herbivore deterrence by visiting ants increases fruit set in *Opuntia stricta* (Cactaceae). *Functional Ecology* **13**: 623-631. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1999.00360.x>
- Pacini E, Nepi M, Vesprini JL. 2003. Nectar biodiversity: a short review. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **238**: 7-21. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-002-0277-y>
- Pacini E, Nicolson S. 2007. Introduction. In: Nicolson SW, Nepi M, Pacini E, eds. *Nectaries and Nectar*. New York: Springer, 1-18. ISBN: 978-90-481-7481-2
- Pickett CH, Clark WD. 1979. The function of extrafloral nectaries in *Opuntia acanthocarpa* (Cactaceae). *American Journal of Botany* **66**: 618-625. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1979.tb06265.x>
- Proctor M, Yeo P, Lack A. 1996. *The Natural History of Pollination*. London: HarperCollins Publishers. ISBN-13: 978-0881923520
- Richards AJ. 1986. *Plant Breeding Systems*. Boston: Allen & Unwin. ISBN: 9780045810208
- Ruffner GA, Clark WD. 1986. Extrafloral nectar of *Ferocactus acanthodes* (Cactaceae): Composition and its importance to ants. *American Journal of Botany* **73**: 185-189. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1986.tb08519.x>
- Sánchez-Martínez E, Chávez-Martínez RJ, Hernández-Oria JG, Hernández-Martínez MM. 2006. *Especies de Cactáceas Prioritarias para la Conservación en la Zona Árida Queretano-Hidalguense*. Querétaro: Consejo de Ciencia y Tecnología del Estado de Querétaro.
- Simpson BB, Neff JL. 1983. Evolution and diversity of floral rewards. In: Jones CE, Little RJ, eds. *Handbook of Experimental Pollination Biology*. New York: Scientific and Academic Editions, 142-159. ISBN: 0-442-2467-5
- Stpiczynska M, Milanesi C, Faleri C, Cresta M. 2005. Ultrastructure of the nectary spur of *Platanthera chlorantha* (Custer) Rchb. (Orchidaceae) during successive stages of nectar secretion. *Acta Biologica Cracoviensia Series Botanica* **47**: 111-119.
- Suárez M, Diana X. 2003. *Guía de Procesos para la Elaboración de Néctares, Mermeladas, Uvas Pasas y Vinos*. Bogotá: Convenio Andrés Bello.
- Tapia HJ, Bárcenas-Argüello ML, Terrazas T, Arias S. 2017. Phylogeny and circumscription of *Cephalocereus* (Cactaceae) based on molecular and morphological evidence. *Systematic Botany* **42**: 1-15. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1600/036364417X696546>
- Torres-Sánchez DE. 2013. *Estructura de los nectarios florales en miembros de la Tribu Pachycereeae (Cactaceae Juss.)*. BSc. Thesis. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.
- Urias J. 2009. *Anatomía de las estructuras reproductivas de Stenocereus alamosensis (J. M. Coult.) A. C. Gibson y K. E. Horak (Cactaceae)*. MSc. Thesis, Colegio de Posgraduados, México.
- Vázquez-Sánchez M, Terrazas T, Arias S, Ochoterena H. 2013. Molecular phylogeny, origin and taxonomic implications of the tribe Cacteeae (Cactaceae). *Systematics and Biodiversity* **11**: 103-116. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2013.775191>
- Villamil N, Márquez-Guzmán J, Boege K. 2013. Understanding ontogenetic trajectories of indirect defense: ecological and anatomical constraints in the production of extrafloral nectaries. *Annals of Botany* **112**: 701-709. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mct005>

Associated editor: Silvia Aguilar Rodríguez

Author contributions: ACV designed and carried out the experiments, wrote the manuscript; SVS conceived and designed the project and the experiments, wrote the manuscript. SA and JMG reviewed and corrected the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.