Flowering phenology of *Catopsis compacta* (Bromeliaceae), a dioecious epiphyte in an oak forest

JEANETT ESCOBEDO-SARTI^{1,2} AND DEMETRIA MONDRAGÓN^{1*}

Botanical Sciences
94 (4): 729-736, 2016

DOI: 10.17129/botsci.669

Abstract

Background: Knowledge of the flowering phenology of species with an epiphytic habit and a dioecious sexual system is scarce.

Questions: We studied the flowering phenology of a population of the dioecious epiphytic bromeliad, *Catopsis compacta*, in an oak forest in Oaxaca, Mexico, to answer the following questions: 1) what type of flowering period is exhibited by this population of *C. compacta*? 2) what is the degree of synchrony between the male and female flowering periods? and 3) what is the flowering synchrony index of the population?

Methods: In February 2006, in a 20 m × 20 m plot, we marked and measured 151 individuals of *C. compacta* ≥ 10 cm in height (minimum reproductive size). We recorded the number of flowers and fruits present in each individual every month for one year.

Results: Our results showed that the flowering period in both sexes lasted for three months (May–July). Only 23 marked individuals flowered (15.23 %): of these 12 (52.17 %) were female and 11 (47.83 %) were male. The index of synchrony between females and males was 0.958 ± 0.013 and the flowering synchrony index of the population was 0.833 ± 0.189 .

Conclusions: The high flowering synchrony between the sexes, together with a flowering season that coincided with the period of highest rainfall when the number of arthropods (potential pollinators) is the highest, could favor breeding and, therefore, reproductive success.

Key words: Bromeliaceae, *Catopsis*, epiphytes, phenology, dioecy.

Fenología florar de *Catopsis compacta* (Bromeliaceae), una epífita decidua en un bosque de roble

Resumen

Antecedentes: El conocimiento de la fenología de floración de las especies con hábito epífita y sistema sexual dioico es escaso.

Preguntas: En este estudio se evaluó la fenología de floración de una población de *Catopsis compacta*, una bromelia dioica, en un bosque de encino en Oaxaca, México, con el fin de contestar las siguientes preguntas: 1) ¿qué tipo de floración presenta la población de *C. compacta*? 2) ¿Cuál es el grado de sincronía entre individuos masculinos y femeninos? 3) ¿Cuál es el índice de sincronía a nivel poblacional?

Metodos: En febrero de 2006, se marcaron 151 individuos ≥ 10 cm de altura en un cuadrante (20 × 20 m), y se midió su longitud total. Se realizaron censos mensuales, por un año para registrar su estado fenológico. En la etapa de floración, se contó el número de flores y frutos presentes en cada individuo.

Resultados: La floración de ambos sexos tuvo una duración total de tres meses (mayo–julio). Del total de individuos marcados sólo 23 (15.23 %) florecieron; de 12 (52.17 %) pistilados y 11 (47.83 %) estaminados. El índice de sincronía entre hembras y machos fue de 0.958 ± 0.013 . El índice de sincronía a nivel población fue de 0.833 ± 0.189 .

Conclusiones: La alta sincronía de floración entre ambos sexos, junto con el hecho que la época de floración coincide con el periodo de mayor precipitación, momento en que se presenta el mayor número de artrópodos (posibles polinizadores), podrían favorecer la polinización y en consecuencia el éxito reproductivo de la especie.

Palabras clave: Bromeliaceae, *Catopsis*, epífitas, fenología, dioecia.

¹ Instituto Politécnico Nacional. CIIDIR-Oaxaca, Sta. Cruz Xoxocotlán, Oaxaca, México.

² Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas y Agropecuarias. Universidad de Colima, Crucero de Tecmán, Colima, México.

* Corresponding author: dmondragon@ipn.mx

Phenological studies on the recurring events of organisms, and their relationships to the environment, are basic to understanding ecosystem functioning, communities and population dynamics (Ibarra-Manríquez 1991, Fenner 1998, Sherry *et al.* 2007, Miller-Rushing & Inouye 2009, Ghyselen *et al.* 2016).

Most phenological studies focus on species of economic importance (Rathcke & Lacey 1985). In the case of wild flora, phenological studies are restricted to life forms such as trees, shrubs or vines, whereas studies that focus on herbs or epiphytes are scarce (Ibarra-Manríquez 1991, Morellato *et al.* 2013).

The reproductive phenology of epiphytes, plants that grow on other plants without feeding directly from them, is linked to the presence of pollinators (Ackerman 1986, Zimmerman *et al.* 1989, Jaramillo & Cavelier 1998, Sheldon & Nadkarni 2015) and to precipitation (Sahagun-Godinez 1996, Sheldon & Nadkarni 2015). Overall, the flowering periods of epiphytes are annual and continuous, lasting for 3–4 months (Sheldon & Nadkarni 2015). For dioecious epiphytes, the two known phenological studies reported an annual flowering pattern of about 8 months that was possibly induced by pollinator behavior, precipitation and solar radiation (Zimmerman *et al.* 1989, Trejos-Hernández 2015).

Descriptions of the phenology of flowering dioecious plants are necessary to understand the influence of sexual selection on the evolution of sexual dimorphism in plants. It is theorized that reproductive success for male individuals is mainly limited by the number of pollen grains reaching stigmas, whereas female reproductive success is limited by the amount of resources available to invest in seeds and associated structures (Abe 2001, Forero-Montaña & Zimmerman 2010). As a result, it is expected that male individuals should exhibit earlier and longer flowering periods as well as more colorful flowers, compared to female individuals (Forero-Montaña & Zimmerman 2010).

To improve our understanding of the phenology of dioecious epiphytes, we observed the flowering phenology of a population of *Catopsis compacta* Mez, a monocarpic, dioecious epiphytic bromeliad, in the Northern Sierra of Oaxaca. Owing to the xeric nature of the epiphytic habitat, we expected the flowering period to coincide with the wet season, and the dispersal of anemochorous seeds to occur during the dry season. Also, we expected a high synchrony of flowering in female and male *C. compacta* individuals because the reproductive success of dioecious species is intimately linked to this synchrony.

Materials and methods

Study site. The study was conducted in an area known as Cerezal (17°15'10" N 96°32'59" W; elevation 2237 m a.s.l.), in the municipality of Santa Catarina Ixtepeji at the Sierra Norte of Oaxaca, Mexico. The climate varies from temperate to cold humid with summer rains. Annual rainfall varies from 600 to 800 mm (Vidal-Zepeda, 1990) and the average annual temperature is 18 °C (Servicio Meteorológico Nacional, 1971–2000). The vegetation at the study site is classified as oak forest; the trees are mainly *Quercus castanea* Neé, *Q. laurifolia* Michx., *Q. rugosa* Neé, *Q. laurina* Bonpl., *Q. magnoliifolia* Neé and *Q. laeta* Liebm., with some elements of *Pinus* spp. The epiphytes comprise a large variety of orchids, ferns and bromeliads (Mondragón *et al.* 2006).

Catopsis compacta Mez (Bromeliaceae), an epiphyte endemic to Mexico, has been recorded in the states of Oaxaca and Jalisco. It is acaulescent and dioecious, with leaves forming a rosette measuring ca. 25–60 cm in length when in flower. The scape is erect; the pistillate inflorescence (9–17 cm long) divides once, with 4–8 spikes each bearing 7–10 flowers; the staminate inflorescence (10–25 cm long) divides twice, with 10–25 branches, each with 13–21 flowers. The flowers are small (ca. 5–8 mm pistillate, ca. 5–7 mm staminate), white and sessile (Utley & Chater 1994, Palací 1997).

To follow the flowering phenology of *Catopsis compacta* we established a 20 × 20 m plot in February 2006. In this plot we labeled all individuals of *C. compacta* ≥ 10 cm in height (minimum size observed in reproductive individuals) and measured the length of each one (from the base of the rosette to the tip of the inflorescence). Every month for one year (February 2006 to February 2007) we scored the phenophases of each individual and recorded the numbers of flowers, flower buds and the presence of unopened and opened capsules.

To determine whether plant size and number of flowers differed significantly between female and male individuals, we performed a Student's *t*-test (Zar 1984), using Microsoft Excel 365. The proportion of flowering individuals (unimodal or bimodal) was plotted with circular histograms. In addition, we followed SanMartín-Gajardo and Morellato (2003) by considering only phenophase flowering to calculate the following parameters at the individual (i, iv, vi, viii) and population level (ii, iii, v, vii, ix):

- i) Duration—number of months that each individual remains in flower.
- ii) Mean duration—mean time in months that the species remains in flower: corresponds to the length of each individual phenophase divided by the total number of individuals.
- iii) Total duration—the total number of months that the species remains in flower.
- iv) Date of first flowering—first month that an individual starts flowering.
- v) First date synchrony—standard deviation of the first flowering date of each individual for a given species.
- vi) Peak bloom—month maximum for an individual floral display.
- vii) Peak date synchrony—standard deviation of the main peak bloom date of each individual. For variables v and vii, high standard deviation values indicate a low synchrony among individuals and zero indicates maximum synchrony.
- viii) Index of synchrony of a given individual with its conspecifics (X_i)

$$X_i = \frac{\sum_{ij}}{(N-1)f_i}$$

Where:

ij = number of months that both individuals i and j were flowering synchronously

f_i = number of months that individual i is in bloom

N = number of individuals in the population

When $X_i = 1$, perfect flowering synchrony occurs, or there is a complete overlap between the flowering periods of individuals i and j in the population; when $X_i = 0$ flowering does not occur synchronously, or there is no overlap in the flowering periods of those individuals. Intermediate values between 0 and 1 indicate partial flowering synchrony.

ix) Index of population synchrony (Z)—estimates the overlap of flowering periods between individuals of the same species

$$Z = \frac{\sum X_i}{N}$$

Where:

X_i = the index of individual synchrony in flowering period

N = the number of individuals in the population

When $Z = 1$, perfect flowering synchrony occurs, or there is complete overlapping of the flowering periods of individuals i and j in the population; when $Z = 0$, flowering does not occur synchronously, or there is not overlapping of the flowering periods of those individuals flowering. Intermediate values between 0 and 1 indicate partial flowering synchrony.

We selected SanMartín-Gajardo and Morellato's (2003) method because their calculation of flowering synchrony is based on Augspurger's (1983) index, which allows the degree of overlapping at individual and population levels to be estimated. However, Augspurger's index does not incorporate differences in the intensities of phenological phases, so strictly, this method measures the degree of overlapping, rather than synchronicity *per se*; nevertheless, it is the most widely used phenological index in phenological studies (Freitas & Bolgrem 2008).

Results

We found 151 adult individuals in our plot, of which only 23 (15.23 %) bore reproductive structures: 12 (52.17 %) were female and 11 (47.83 %) were male. Female and male individuals were similarly sized, but differed in the number of flowers (Table 1); female plants bore fewer flowers compared to male plants.

Catopsis compacta displayed a single flowering period (Figure 1) that lasted three months

Table 1. Variation in plant length and number of flowers between female and male individuals of the bromeliad *Catopsis compacta* at Cerezal, Oaxaca, Mexico (February 2006–January 2007). Includes values of the mean and standard deviation, Student's t-test, and degrees of freedom.

	Plant Leng (cm)	df	Number of flower	df
Female	28.418 ± 12,109	10	57 ± 31.269	11
Male	31.190 ± 16,355		214 ± 123.792	
T-student	-0.294*		-4.059**	
* α 0.05, **α 0.01

Figure 1. Flowering phenology of *Catopsis compacta* in Cerezal, Oaxaca, Mexico (February 2006–January 2007). A. Monthly precipitation and temperature data from site. B. Flowering phenology of staminate and pistillate individuals.

(May, June and July). Fruits began to develop in July and matured in February, whereas seed dispersal began in March and ended in April.

The mean flowering time at the population level was 1.652 ± 0.486 months; for female plants this was 1.416 ± 0.514 months and for males 1.909 ± 0.301 months (Figure 2). At the population level the maximum intensity of flowering occurred in July, with a mean of 3.315 ± 2.056 per day of open flowers; female plants also showed peak intensity of flowering in July

Figure 2. Circular histograms of the flowering pattern of the bromeliad *Catopsis compacta* at Cerezal, Oaxaca, Mexico (February 2006–January 2007).

(3.714 ± 2.058 open flowers per day), whereas male plants peaked in June (4 ± 1.825 open flowers; Figure 2).

The flowering synchrony index for female individuals was 0.723 ± 0.209 , and 0.954 ± 0.015 for male individuals. The synchrony index of a male individual with respect to all female individuals was 0.833 ± 0.192 ; for a female individual with respect to all male individuals the synchrony index was 0.958 ± 0.013 . At the population level, the flowering synchrony index was 0.833 ± 0.189 .

Discussion

According to the classification by Newstrom *et al.* (1994), the flowering pattern of *Catopsis compacta* at the study site is annual, displaying a single flowering stage per year, with an intermediate period of three months (May, June, July). According to Gentry's (1974) classification,

the flowering pattern at population level would be constant for both female and male individuals. These ‘annual’ and ‘constant’ patterns have been reported for members of the Tillandsioideae subfamily (Gentry 1974), to which *Catopsis* belongs.

Height differences between female and male individuals are considered a product of differential resource allocation to vegetative processes (Espírito-Santo *et al.* 2003, Barrett & Hough 2012). The lack of size differences between female and male individuals of *Catopsis compacta* may result from the limited supply of nutrients and water in the epiphytic habitat. Despite the physiological and anatomical adaptations of epiphytes (for example, overlapping leaf bases that form water-storing tanks, peltate trichomes, thick cuticles, etc.), such adaptations may not allow them to store many resources to allocate to vegetative growth. This needs to be investigated further—differences in vegetative features between female and male individuals in a dioecious desert shrub have been documented (Wallace & Rundel 1979). Rather than the scarcity of nutrients or water, the non-differentiation in size could be related to the fact that for epiphytes, an increase in size may increase the chances of death because the supporting substrate may break (Benzing 1990 2000, Mondragón *et al.* 2015).

With respect to the difference in the number of flowers between female and male individuals, in dioecious species it is common for male plants to produce more flowers per individual than female plants (Bram & Quinn 2000, Espírito-Santo *et al.* 2003, Munguia-Rosas *et al.* 2011, Barrett & Hough 2012). Male plants allocate more resources to produce more flowers because their fitness is directly linked to the number of pollen grains released. Further, the bigger the floral display, the more likely it is to be visited by pollinators (Bram & Quinn 2000, Amorim *et al.* 2011, Forrest 2014).

Female plants have higher resource requirements than male plants because the female bears the costs of production and maintenance of fruits and seeds (Delph 1999, Labouche & Pannell 2016). These costs are energetically expensive, dramatically more so for epiphytes considering the oligotrophic environment in which they grow (Benzing 1990, 2000, Espírito-Santo *et al.* 2003, Amorim *et al.* 2011).

Differences in the flowering pattern between female and male individuals, such as those observed in the study population, are common among dioecious plants (Bram & Quinn 2000, Espírito-Santo *et al.* 2003, Forrest 2014). The early production of staminate flowers (compared to pistillate flowers) has been related to several factors, such as the fact that the reproductive effort of female plants requires a longer period over which resources are accumulated (Forrest 2014), and to the different germination time of seeds—male seeds germinate earlier than female seeds (Barrett & Hough 2012).

Flowering occurred during the rainy season, when annual rainfall can reach up to 120 mm (Vidal-Zepeda 1990). During this period arthropod populations increase (Bhat & Murali 2001, Yamamura *et al.* 2007) and this could boost the number of possible pollinators of *Catopsis compacta*—a species with an entomophily pollination syndrome. Indeed, we observed wasps, European and native bees visiting *C. compacta* flowers. Dioecy is strongly related to generalist entomophily (Matallana *et al.* 2005, Matallana-Tobón 2010).

The fact that the flowering periods of female and male individuals were not perfectly synchronous could be due to the longer flowering period of males and the larger synchrony among them. These factors may increase the chance of pollen being transported to pistillate flowers, and thus, effecting pollination; on the other hand, the lower synchrony and shorter flowering period of females could reduce competition between them—if fewer female flowers are displayed simultaneously, it may be more likely that most of them would be visited by pollinators.

The population-level synchrony between female and male individuals of *Catopsis compacta* (0.833 ± 0.189) can be considered high according to San Martín-Gajardo & Morellato (2003). This was expected, considering that for dioecious populations high flowering synchrony between females and males is necessary to ensure cross pollination (Heilbuth 2000). Also, adequate proximity and abundance of male individuals are essential as these factors have a strong bearing on the availability of pollen, and to some extent, on pollinator behavior (Trejos-Hernández 2015)—as would be the case for this *C. compacta* population where more than 47 % of the individuals were male.

Acknowledgments

We thank El Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT) for financing this study through grant 2004-SEP-CONACyT COI-48136. We are grateful to the community of Santa Catarina Ixtepeji for giving us the opportunity to carry out our research in their forest. Sandra Escobar and Carlos Fernandez Rios (Universidad Tecnológica de los Valles Centrales de Oaxaca) generously helped with fieldwork. Adolfo Espejo-Serna and Nancy Martinez-Correa (Herbário Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Iztapalapa) kindly identified species. Carlos Leopardi-Verde (Universidad de Colima) and three anonymous reviewers offered helpful comments that improved our manuscript. Sheeba Sreenivasan reviewed our English. JES warmly thanks CONACyT for awarding scholarship 201,796 for her postgraduate studies in the Programa en Ciencias en Conservación y Aprovechamiento de Recursos Naturales at the Centro Interdisciplinario de Investigación para el Desarrollo Integral Regional Oaxaca, Instituto Politécnico Nacional (IPN) and El Programa Integral de Fortalecimiento granted scholarships that allowed the completion of her postgraduate studies.

Literature Cited

- Abe T. 2001. Flowering phenology, display size, and fruit set in an understory dioecious shrub, *Aucuba japonica* (Cornaceae). *American Journal of Botany* **88**:455-461.
- Ackerman JD. 1986. Coping with the epiphytic existence: pollination strategies. *Selbyana* **9**:52-60.
- Amorim FW, Mendes-Rodrigues C, Maruyama PK, Oliveria PE. 2011. Sexual ratio and floral biology of the dioecious *Neea theifera* Oerst. (Nyctaginaceae) in a *cerrado rupestre* of central Brazil. *Acta Botanica Brasiliica* **25**:785-792. DOI: 10.1590/S0102-33062011000400006
- Augsburger CK. 1983. Phenology, flowering synchrony, and fruit set of six neotropical shrubs. *Biotropica* **15**:257-267. DOI: 10.2307/2387650
- Barrett SC, Hough J. 2012. Sexual dimorphism in flowering plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **64**:67-82. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ers308
- Benzing DH. 1990. *Vascular epiphytes*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Benzing DH. 2000. *Bromeliaceae: profile of an adaptive radiation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bram MR, Quinn JA. 2000. Sex expression, sex-specific traits, and the effects of salinity on growth and reproduction of *Amaranthus cannabinus* (Amaranthaceae), a dioecious annual. *American Journal of Botany* **87**:1609-1618. DOI: 10.2307/2656737
- Bhat DM, Murali KS. 2001. Phenology of understorey species of tropical moist forest of Western Ghats region of Uttara Kannada district in South India. *Current Science* **81**:799-805.
- Delph LF. 1999. Sexual dimorphism in life history. In: Geber M, Dawson TE, Delph LF, eds. *Gender and sexual dimorphism in flowering plants*. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 149-173. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-03908-3_6
- Espírito-Santo MM, Madeira BG, Neves FS, Faria ML, Fagundes M, Wilson Fernandes G. 2003. Sexual differences in reproductive phenology and their consequences for the demography of *Baccharis dracunculifolia* (Asteraceae), a dioecious tropical shrub. *Annals of Botany* **91**:13-19. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcg001
- Fenner M. 1998. The phenology of growth and reproduction in plants. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* **1**:78-91. DOI: 10.1078/1433-8319-00053
- Forrest JRK. 2014. Plant size, sexual selection, and the evolution of protandry in dioecious plants. *The American Naturalist* **184**:338-35. DOI: 10.1086/677295
- Forero-Montaña J, Zimmerman JK. 2010. Sexual dimorphism in the timing of flowering in two dioecious trees in a subtropical wet forest, Puerto Rico. *Caribbean Journal of Science* **46**:88-95. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18475/cjos.v46i1.a11>
- Gentry AH. 1974. Flowering phenology and diversity in tropical Bignoniaceae. *Biotropica* **6**:64-68. DOI: 10.2307/2989698
- Freitas L, Bolmgren K. 2008. Synchrony is more than overlap: measuring phenological synchronization considering time length and intensity. *Brazilian Journal of Botany* **31**:721-724. DOI: 10.1590/S0100-84042008000400017
- Ghyselen C, Bonte D, Brys R. 2016. Is there a missing link? Effects of root herbivory on plant-pollinator interactions and reproductive output in a monocarpic species. *Plant Biology* **18**:156-163. DOI: 10.1111/plb.12325
- Ibarra-Manríquez G, Sánchez-Garfias B, González-García L. 1991. Fenología de lianas y árboles anemócoros en una selva cálido-húmeda de México. *Biotropica* **23**:242-254. DOI: 10.2307/2388201

Received:
November 2nd, 2015

Accepted:
June 21st, 2016

- Heilbuth JC. 2000. Lower species richness in dioecious clades. *The American Naturalist* **156**:221-241.
- Jaramillo MA, Cavelier J. 1998. Fenología de dos especies de *Tillandsia* (Bromeliaceae) en un bosque montano alto de la cordillera oriental colombiana. *Selbyana* **19**:44-51.
- Labouche AM, Pannell JR. 2016. A test of the size-constraint hypothesis for a limit to sexual dimorphism in plants. *Oecologia* **181**:873-884. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-016-3616-3
- Matallana G, Wendt T, Araujo DS, Scarano FR. 2005. High abundance of dioecious plants in a tropical coastal vegetation. *American Journal of Botany* **92**:1513-1519. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.92.9.1513
- Matallana-Tobón G. 2010. *Sistemas Reproductivos, Ecología da Polinização e Hibridização em Bromeliaceae numa perspectiva local à evolutiva*. Ph. D. Dissertation. Federal University of Rio de Janeiro.
- Miller-Rushing AJ, Inouye DW. 2009. Variation in the impact of climate change on flowering phenology and abundance: An examination of two pairs of closely related wildflower species. *American Journal of Botany* **96**:1821-1829. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.0800411
- Mondragón-Chaparro DM, Villa-Gúzman DM, Escobedo-Sarti JG, Franco-Méndez DA. 2006. La riqueza de bromelias epífitas a lo largo de un gradiente altitudinal en Santa Catarina Ixtepeji, Oaxaca, México. *Naturaleza y Desarrollo* **4**:13-16.
- Mondragón D, Valverde T, Hernández-Apolinar M. 2015. Population ecology of epiphytic angiosperms: A review. *Tropical Ecology* **56**:1-39. DOI:10.13140/2.1.4043.5849
- Morellato LPC, Camargo MGG, Gressler E. 2013. A review of plant phenology in South and Central America. In: Schwartz M, ed. *Phenology: an integrative environmental science*. Dordrecht: Springer-Verlag, 91-113. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-6925-0_6
- Munguia-Rosas MA, Ollerton J, Parra-Tabla V. 2011. Phenotypic selection on flowering phenology and size in two dioecious plant species with different pollen vectors. *Plant Species Biology* **26**:205-212. DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-1984.2011.00320.x
- Newstrom LE, Frankie GW, Baker HG, Colwell RK. 1994. The diversity of flowering patterns at La selva. In: McDade LA, Bawa KS, Hespeneide HA, Gary S, eds. *La Selva: Ecology and Natural History of a Neotropical Rain Forest*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 140-160. DOI: 10.2307/2388804
- Palací CA. 1997. A systematic revision of the genus *Catopsis* (Bromeliaceae). Ph.D. dissertation. University of Wyoming.
- Rathcke B, Lacey EP. 1985. Phenological patterns of terrestrial plants. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **16**:179-214. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.es.16.110185.001143
- Sahagun-Godínez E. 1996. Trends in the phenology of flowering in the Orchidaceae of Western Mexico. *Biotropica* **28**:130-136. DOI: 10.2307/2388778
- SanMartin-Gajardo I, Morellato LPC. 2003. Inter and intraspecific variation on reproductive phenology of the Brazilian Atlantic forest Rubiaceae: ecology and phylogenetic constraints. *Revista de Biología Tropical* **51**:691-698.
- Servicio Meteorológico Nacional (1971–2000) Normales climatológicas en Santa Catarina Ixtepeji, Oaxaca. <<http://smn.cna.gob.mx/productos/normales/estacion/oax/NORMAL20040.TXT>>
- Sheldon KS, Nadkarni NM. 2015. Reproductive phenology of epiphytes in Monteverde, Costa Rica. *Revista de Biología Tropical* **63**:1119-1126. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v63i4.16583>
- Sherry RA, Zhou X, Gu S, Arnone III JA, Schimel DS, Verburg PS, Wallace LL, Luo Y. 2007. Divergence of reproductive phenology under climate warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**:196-202. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0605642104
- Trejos-Hernández CF. 2015. Fenología floral, polinización y éxito reproductivo en una planta epífita dioica (*Achmea marie-reginae*, Bromeliaceae). Master Dissertation. University Costa Rica.
- Vidal-Zepeda R. 1990. Average annual rainfall in rainfall, IV.4.6. National Atlas of Mexico. Vol II. 1: 4 000 000. México DF: Instituto de Geografía, UNAM.
- Wallace CS, Rundel PW. 1979. Sexual dimorphism and resource allocation in male and female shrubs of *Simmondsia chinensis*. *Oecologia* **44**:34–39. DOI: 10.1007/BF00346394
- Utlley J. and Chater K. 1994. Bromeliaceae. In: Davidse G, Sousa M, Chater AO, eds. *Flora Mesoamericana*. Vol. 6. *Alismataceae to Cyperaceae*. México DF: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Missouri Botanical Garden and The Natural History Museum (London), 143.
- Yamamura N, Fujita N, Hayashi M, Nakamura Y, Yamauchi A. 2007. Optimal phenology of annual plants under grazing pressure. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **246**:530-537. DOI:10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.01.010
- Zar JH. 1974. *Biostatistical analysis*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Zimmerman JK, Roubik DW, Ackerman JD. 1989. Asynchronous phenologies of a neotropical orchid and its euglossine bee pollinator. *Ecology* **70**:1192-1195. DOI: 10.2307/1941389