Patterns of distribution of nine *Quercus* species along an environmental gradient in a fragmented landscape in central Mexico

RAFAEL AGUILAR-ROMERO^{1,4}, FELIPE GARCÍA-OLIVA², FERNANDO PINEDA-GARCÍA¹, IGNACIO TORRES², ERNESTO PEÑA-VEGA², ADRIÁN GHILARDI³ AND KEN OYAMA^{1,2}

Botanical Sciences
94 (3): 471-482, 2016

DOI: 10.17129/botsci.620

Abstract

Elucidating the factors determining plant distribution is still on discussion. It has been stated that the distribution is mostly determined by environmental factors, but the evidence on whether this or other processes are the determinants remains inconclusive. In the present study, we hypothesized that oak species differ in their distribution, which might be mostly influence by the environment. Particularly, we explored: i) the patterns of distribution of *Quercus* species at a landscape scale; ii) the climatic, soil and topographic factors that might determine their distribution, and iii) the degree of association between the species within fragments. The study included the analysis of 78 oak forest fragments at the Cuitzeo lake Basin in Michoacán state, Mexico in which nine oak species were registered. The species showed clear differences in their distribution; three groups of oak species that differ significantly in their spatial arrangement were detected with a NMDS (Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling) analysis. We observed a relationship between oak species distribution with temperature and precipitation. In particular, *Q. candicans*, *Q. crassipes* and *Q. rugosa* were frequently distributed at sites with higher rainfall and lower temperature; in contrast, *Q. deserticola*, *Q. gentryi* and *Q. glaucooides* were at more arid areas. We found associations between pairs of oak species; the most recurrent one was between species from the *Quercus* and the *Lobatae* sections. Overall, the pattern of distribution among oak species was determined by environmental factors, which suggests that they partition their habitat to avoid competition for resources.

Key words: coexistence, ecological niche, habitat fragmentation, patterns of distribution, oaks.

Patrones de distribución de nueve especies de *Quercus* a lo largo de un gradiente ambiental en un paisaje fragmentado del centro de México

Resumen

Elucidar los factores que determinan la distribución de las plantas todavía se encuentra en debate. Se ha dicho que la distribución es principalmente determinada por factores ambientales, pero la evidencia de si estos u otros procesos son los más determinantes aún no es concluyente. En el presente estudio, se predijo que las especies de *Quercus* difieren en su distribución, y está principalmente determinada por el ambiente. En particular, se exploró: i) los patrones de distribución de especies de *Quercus* en una escala de paisaje; ii) si los factores climáticos, edáficos y topográficos determinan su distribución; y iii) el grado de asociación entre especies dentro de los fragmentos. En este estudio se censaron 78 fragmentos de encinares en la Cuenca de Cuitzeo en el estado de Michoacán, México, en los cuales se registraron nueve especies de encinos. Las especies mostraron claras diferencias en su distribución; tres grupos de especies de *Quercus* que difieren significativamente en su arreglo espacial fueron detectados con un análisis NMDS (Escalamiento Multidimensional No-métrico). Así mismo, se observó una relación entre la distribución de las especies de encinos, y la temperatura y la precipitación. En particular, *Q. candicans*, *Q. crassipes* y *Q. rugosa* frecuentemente se distribuyen en sitios con mayor precipitación y menor temperatura; en contraste, *Q. deserticola*, *Q. gentryi* y *Q. glaucooides* estuvieron en zonas más áridas. Se detectaron asociaciones entre pares de especies de *Quercus*; las más frecuentes fueron entre especies de las secciones *Quercus* y *Lobatae*. En general, el patrón de distribución entre especies de encinos está determinado por factores ambientales, lo cual sugiere que las especies se reparten el hábitat para evitar competir por recursos.

Palabras clave: coexistencia, encinos, fragmentación del hábitat, nicho ecológico, patrones de distribución.

¹ Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores (ENES) Unidad Morelia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Morelia, Michoacán, México.

² Instituto de Investigaciones en Ecosistemas y Sustentabilidad, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Morelia, Michoacán, México.

³ Centro de Investigaciones en Geografía Ambiental, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Morelia Michoacán, México.

⁴ Corresponding author: raguil-ar@cieco.unam

recurrent issue in plant ecology is to elucidate the key factors that influence species distribution and assemblages of communities (Tilman, 1982; Huston, 1994; Scott *et al.* 2002). Previous studies had proposed that plant species distribution within communities is mainly driven by stochastic processes (Brokaw and Busing, 2000; Hubbell, 2001; Tilman, 2002; Huston, 2002; Tuomisto *et al.* 2003). In contrast, other studies had proposed that plant spatial distribution and assemblages of species within a community are determined by the adaptation of species to the available resources and heterogeneity of the environment (Tilman, 1982; Tokeshi, 1999; Ackerly, 2003; Cavender-Bares *et al.* 2004; Ackerly *et al.* 2006; Kelly *et al.* 2008; Mills, 2009; Pérez-Ramos *et al.* 2012; de la Riva *et al.* 2014). However, the analyses of environmental factors determining plant species distribution and plant community composition at different spatial scales are still a recurrent theme in community ecology.

Mexico with the complexity of its orography has promoted habitat partitioning and species diversification (Rzedowski, 1978; Villaseñor, 2003; 2004). Particularly, Mexico is considered the center of diversification of several plant groups including the genus *Quercus* L. (oaks) (Villaseñor, 2004; Nixon, 2006). Most of oak species are endemic to the country with a particular pattern of distribution (Nixon, 1993; Abrams, 2003; Valencia-A., 2004; Nixon, 2006; Torres-Miranda *et al.* 2011; Torres-Miranda, 2014). At a continental scale, white oaks (section *Quercus*) occur in drier and warmer environments than red oaks (section *Lobatae*), which are distributed in more humid and colder sites (Zavala-Chávez., 1998; Abrams, 2003; Valencia-A., 2004; Mills, 2009; Torres-Miranda, 2009; Torrez-Meza *et al.* 2009; Rodríguez-Trejo and Myers 2010). Furthermore, closely-related oak species tend to diverge in their habitat preferences to avoid competition, as well as in response to environmental factors (Torrez-Meza *et al.* 2009; Olvera-Vargas *et al.* 2010; Pérez-Ramos *et al.* 2012; de la Riva *et al.* 2014). Particularly, nutrient availability and fire regime, topography, soil and altitude frequency have influenced oak distribution (Abrams, 1990; 2003; Cavender-Bares *et al.* 2004; Meave *et al.* 2006; Olvera-Vargas *et al.* 2010; Rodríguez-Trejo and Myers 2010; de la Riva *et al.* 2014). However, it is still unknown if these patterns of oak distribution hold at regional scales.

On the other side within communities, it is thought that species coexistence is promoted if they have a contrasting resource use strategy, are temporally separated at some point of their life stage, (Nakashizuka, 2001; Silvertown, 2004; Cavender-Bares and Pahlisch 2009) or develop positive synergic effects between each other (Kaye *et al.* 2000; Gartner and Cardon 2004; Chávez-Vergara *et al.* 2014; 2015). Recently, it was detected that the association of two oak species produce higher nutrient availability, which resulted advantageous for one of the species (Chávez-Vergara *et al.* 2014; 2015). Although, this exhibited the benefits of species coexistence, the evidence supporting the frequency of the associations between oak species is still scant.

In this study, we analyzed the structure of oak communities at a landscape scale in a basin located in Central Mexico. We studied 78 plots distributed in different oak fragments within the Cuitzeo basin (> 4,000 km²) in Michoacán state, Mexico. In the Cuitzeo basin, it has been reported that precipitation increases and temperature decreases from north to south of the basin (Mendoza *et al.* 2006; Leal-Nares *et al.* 2010). The aim of the study was to detect whether oak species have a defined pattern of distribution, and if the environment influences such pattern. We were also interested to determine associations between pairs of species based on the oak species environmental affinities. Particularly, the objectives of this study were to: i) know the patterns of spatial distribution of oak species at a landscape scale; ii) determine the environmental factors affecting oak species distribution, and iii) know the degree of association between pairs of oak species.

Methods

Study area. The Cuitzeo basin has 4,026 km² and it is located at 19° 30'–20° 05'N and 100° 35'–101° 30'W in the Trans-Mexican Volcanic belt between the States of Michoacán and Guanajuato (Figure 1). Climate at the basin is temperate with a marked rainy season during summer months (June to September), but it has been detected that precipitation increases while temperature decreases from south to north and with altitude (Mendoza *et al.* 2006). The mean annual temperature ranges from 14 to 20 °C, and the average annual precipitation ranged from 646 to 1,402 mm (Leal-Nares *et al.* 2010). The soils and the topography of the study area are product of

Figure 1. Cuitzeo lake basin and the distribution of the 78 plots in oak fragments.

the volcanic activity from Quaternary (Mendoza *et al.* 2006; Chávez-Vergara *et al.* 2014). The dominant soils are vertisols, luvisols, leptosols, acrisols and andosols (Mendoza *et al.* 2006). The oak forests (*Quercus*) are the predominant vegetation type; however, as a consequence of land-use change, they are very fragmented (Mendoza *et al.* 2006). The development of urban areas, the expansion of agriculture and the production of oak charcoal are the principal threats for these forests (Mendoza *et al.* 2006; Aguilar *et al.* 2012; Herrera-Arroyo *et al.* 2013).

A total of 78 oak forest fragments were selected within the Cuitzeo basin. A plot of 100 × 20 m (15.6 ha) was established within each forest fragment, in which all oak trees with a ≥ 5 cm of diameter at breast height (dbh) were identified and its height and dbh was measured. For each site, the altitude, inclination and orientation of the slope were obtained with a digital elevation model framed on GIS ArcView ver. 3.3 (ESRI, 1999).

Nutrients and carbon content in litter and soil. Four transects of 1 × 100 m of length were established within each plot to quantify nutrient and carbon contents in both the surface litter and soil beneath it. In each transect, a soil sample was taken from the first 20 cm with a soil-core sampler every 20 m. The 20 soil samples extracted at each plot were evenly mixed and kept in a plastic bag. Five samples of litter were randomly collected at each plot with a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) ring with a diameter of 160 mm. Soil and litter samples were transported into the laboratory in a cooler, and stored in bags and placed in darkness at 4 °C until analysis (Chavez-Vergara *et al.* 2014). In the laboratory, total forms of C, N and P were analyzed for both, soil and litter samples. The litter samples were oven-dried at 70 °C for 72 hours. Thus, samples were grounded with a mill (Retsch MM400) and sieved through a 40 mesh. Similarly, soil samples were oven-dried and grounded with a pestle and agate mortar. Total N and P were determined following acid digestion in a mixture of concentrate H₂SO₄ and K₂SO₄ plus CuSO₄, the latter as a catalyst; N was determined by a micro-Kjeldahl method (Bremner, 1996) and P by the molybdate colorimetric method following ascorbic acid reduction (Murphy and Riley 1962). The extraction was measured by colorimetry in an autoanalyzer (3Bran-Luebbe; Nordstedt, Alemania). Carbon analysis was done in a total carbon analyzer (UIC mod 5012; Chicago, USA) and determined by colorimetric detection (Huffman, 1977).

Climatic variables. First, to characterize the climate of each plot, nineteen bioclimatic variables derived from monthly precipitation and temperature values (period 1910–2009) were extracted at 30 arc seconds and downscaled using a digital elevation model at 30 m of resolution for the study area by Cuervo-Robayo *et al.* (2014) and Correa-Ayram *et al.* (in press), respectively. Nineteen climatic variables were finally extracted for each plot, using GIS ArcView ver. 3.3 (ESRI, 1999).

Statistical Analysis. Differences in composition between of oak forest fragments across the landscape were explored with a Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling Analysis (NMDS). For this analysis, we included all the presence data of the nine oak species from the 78 plots. Matrices of dissimilarity between plots were developed with the Bray-Curtis index (Faith *et al.* 1987). Differences in species composition between sites were evaluated with an analysis of similarities (ANOSIM). The ANOSIM included 999 random permutations to explore if dissimilarities between sites were statistical significant (following Warwick *et al.* 1990).

A Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) was conducted to determine the relationship between oak species distribution and the environmental variables. First, the 19 variables extracted for each plot to characterize its climate were used to construct the CCA. However, to avoid errors associated with overrepresentation of the climatic variables, the collinearity between pair of characteristics was explored with Pearson paired-correlations (Marquinez *et al.* 2003). When a coefficient of determination ≥ 0.90 was detected between pair of variables, the climatic variable that had the least biological meaning was discarded (Thuiller *et al.* 2003). We only included the following climatic variables in the CCA: maximum temperature in the warmest month (MTWM), maximum temperature in the coldest month (MTCM), annual precipitation (AP), seasonality of the precipitation (SP), and precipitation at the driest quarter of the year (PDQ) (Table 1). At the same time the CCA included the soil and litter nutrient content and the topographic variables (Table 1). Overall, three environmental matrices were included in the CCA analysis; each one included climatic, soil and topographic variables (Table 1), and the species abundance matrix. All the environmental characteristics were log-transformed to satisfy normality. In the CCA analysis, we performed 999 permutations to evaluate the degree of significance of the CCA model, axes and variables ($P = 0.001$) (Table 3). Both CCA and NMDS were implemented in R with the vegan package (Oksanen *et al.*, 2010).

Table 1. Environmental variables used in CCA analysis.

Variable	Acronym	Units
Climate		
Isothermality	I	°C
Maximum temperature in the warmest month	MTWM	°C
Maximum temperature in the coldest month	MTCM	°C
Annual precipitation	AP	mm
Precipitation at the rainiest month	PRM	mm
Seasonality of the precipitation	SP	mm
Precipitation at the driest quarter of the year	PDQ	mm
Precipitation at the warmest quarter of the year	PWQ	mm
Carbon and Nutrients in Litter		
Total Carbon	CL	mg/g
Total Nitrogen	NL	mg/g
Total Phosphorous	PL	mg/g
Carbon and Nutrients in Soil		
Total Carbon	CS	mg/g
Total Nitrogen	NS	mg/g
Total Phosphorous	PS	mg/g
Topography		
Altitude	A	masl
Orientation	O	o
Slope	S	%

Table 2. List of species recorded at the 78 plots of the oak forests in the Cuitzeo lake basin, Michoacán, Mexico.

Species	Section	Number of Individuals	Number of Sites
<i>Quercus castanea</i> Née	<i>Lobatae</i>	2147	58
<i>Q. deserticola</i> Trel.	<i>Quercus</i>	1720	33
<i>Q. laeta</i> Liebm.	<i>Quercus</i>	841	33
<i>Q. rugosa</i> Née	<i>Quercus</i>	724	16
<i>Q. magnoliifolia</i> Née	<i>Quercus</i>	412	18
<i>Q. candicans</i> Née	<i>Lobatae</i>	186	9
<i>Q. crassipes</i> Bonpl.	<i>Lobatae</i>	77	9
<i>Q. glaucoides</i> M. Martens & Galeotti	<i>Quercus</i>	67	2
<i>Q. gentryi</i> C.H. Mull.	<i>Lobatae</i>	46	2

To detect associations or niche overlap between pair of oak species, we performed a Discriminant Analysis. The analysis included the abundance matrix and the environmental matrices from the CCA. However, to avoid overestimation of species distribution, this analysis included only the plots that had ≥ 10 individuals for each species. We calculated the centroid of each species at the multivariate space, and then we traced an ellipse on the data points representing the distribution of the species. When overlap between the ellipses of two species was detected, we calculated the area of overlap with the Jaccard index. The index evaluates the degree of similarity between paired data, and the value oscillates from zero to one (one equals to complete similarity between species niche). Discriminant analysis and species ellipses overlap were implemented in R with the ellipse package (Oksanen *et al.*, 2010).

Results

Oak forests community composition. A total of 6,248 trees (≥ 5 cm of dbh) belonging to nine species of *Quercus* were recorded in the 78 fragments (Table 2). The most abundant species were *Q. castanea*, *Q. deserticola*, *Q. laeta*, *Q. rugosa* and *Q. magnoliifolia*; *Q. gentryi* and *Q. glaucoides* were found only in one and two fragments, respectively.

Species distribution at the landscape. The NDMS analysis exhibited that the two axis solution was the most parsimonious solution in explaining the variation of the fragments composition. Overall, the analysis showed variation in the composition between the 78 oak forest fragments, and therefore that *Quercus* species differed in their distribution pattern within the landscape ($P < 0.01$; Stress = 0.06; $R^2 = 0.98$) (Figure 2). At the two dimension-space, we detected three groups (ANOSIM $R = 0.83$, $P < 0.001$): *Q. rugosa*, *Q. candicans* and *Q. crassipes* define one group located at the south of the basin; a second group was composed by four species which were more frequent at the center of the basin, *Q. castanea*, *Q. laeta*, *Q. magnoliifolia* and *Q. glaucoides*; and *Q. deserticola* and *Q. gentryi* conformed a third group located in the northern part of the basin.

Relationship between species distribution and environmental variability. The canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) explained a total of 35 % of the variation of the plot environmental variables and species distribution data ($P < 0.001$). The first two principal axes explained 59 % of the total variation (CCA1 = 0.35 and CCA2 = 0.24) (Figure 3). The variables that had a significant correlation with each axis were I, MTWM, MTCM, AP, SP and PDQ (Table 3). In general, the analysis demonstrated that the species distribution was related with a gradient of water availability and with variation in the temperature. Particularly, the sites with higher seasonality in the precipitation and higher temperature during the colder months were at the positive side of the first axis. In contrast, the sites with higher annual precipitation and with larger variation of the daily temperature were found at the other side of the first axis. The second axis was also related with the annual precipitation and with the temperature of the warmest month. The warmest sites were at the positive side of the axis, while the more humid sites were at the negative part of the axis.

Figure 2. Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) that includes the species abundance data collected in the 78 plots of oak forests at the Cuitzeo lake basin. ($P < 0.01$; Stress 0.06, $R^2 = 0.98$). The asterisks, triangles, circles and crossings indicate the relative position of the species and plots at the multi-variate space, respectively.

Overall, the CCA showed that *Quercus deserticola* and *Q. gentryi* were located at sites with a marked dry season. In contrast, *Q. crassipes*, *Q. candicans* and *Q. rugosa* were placed at sites with higher daily variation in the temperature and with higher annual precipitation, while *Q. glaucoides* was located at sites with higher maximum temperatures during the warmest months. Interestingly, *Q. castanea*, *Q. magnoliifolia* and *Q. laeta* were located at the center of the CCA

Table 3. Canonical correspondence analysis. The two axes that explained the highest proportion of the variation (CCA1 = 0.44; CCA2 = 0.30), the coefficient of correlation and the statistical analysis for each environmental variable are shown. The asterisk denotes significance (P) of each axis: *** = 0.001. In bold are the variables that were significant. Acronyms follow Table 1.

Eigenvalues	CCA1 ***	CCA2 ***	GL	Chisq	F	P
I	0.44	0.3				
MTWM	-0.56	-0.47	1	0.21	5.81	0.001
MTCM	0.50	0.72	1	0.13	3.50	0.002
AP	0.75	0.37	1	0.17	4.88	0.001
PRM	-0.49	-0.30	1	1.10	2.85	0.009
SP	0.41	0.36	1	0.12	3.36	0.001
PDQ	0.74	-0.11	1	0.10	2.90	0.01
PWQ	-0.21	-0.22	1	0.08	2.29	0.02
PS	-0.55	-0.45	1	0.06	1.70	0.098
NS	-0.35	-0.52	1	0.04	1.01	0.38
NL	-0.04	0.08	1	0.06	1.55	0.142
PL	0.00	-0.14	1	0.04	1.14	0.312
A	-0.07	-0.13	1	0.04	1.04	0.344
O	-0.11	0.20	1	0.01	0.34	0.933
P	0.14	0.16	1	0.04	1.09	0.339
P	-0.41	-0.20	1	0.01	0.37	0.909

Figure 3. Canonical correspondence analysis. The analysis included presence/abundance, climate, soil and topography matrices for the 78 plots distributed at the oak forests of the Cuitzeo lake basin. The vectors indicate the variables that had a significant correlation with each axis (Table 3). The length and direction of the vectors indicate the strength and sign of the correlation, respectively.

space, which suggests that the environmental characteristics evaluated in this analysis were not related with their distribution.

Association between species. The first two axes of the discriminant analysis explained 68 % of the variation (LD1 = 0.42 and LD2 = 0.26). The variables that defined the species niche were MTWM and MTCM for each axis, respectively (Table 4). In general, we detected six associations between species belonging to different sections (*Lobatae* and *Quercus*): *Quercus castanea* — *Q. deserticola*, *Q. castanea* — *Q. laeta*, *Q. castanea* — *Q. magnoliifolia*, *Q. candicans*

Table 4. Discriminant Analysis. The table shows the two axes that explained the highest proportion of the variation (LD1= 0.42; LD2 = 0.26) and coefficients of linear discrimination for each variable. In bold are the variables with the higher coefficient of discrimination. Acronyms follow Table 1.

Discriminant coefficient	LD1	LD2
I	0.42	0.26
MTWM	-0.64	-0.19
MTCM	1.22	-2.30
AP	-1.43	1.47
PRM	0.06	0.14
SP	-1.12	0.37
PDQ	-0.61	0.07
PWQ	0.94	-1.09
SP	-0.03	0.44
SN	0.35	0.42
MN	0.06	0.16
MP	0.11	0.39
A	-0.04	-0.29
O	-0.13	-0.16
P	-0.03	-0.04
	0.19	-0.04

Table 5. Niche climatic overlap index between pair of oak species. The symbols denote associations between sections: *: *Lobatae-Quercus*; +: *Quercus-Quercus*; and ×: *Lobatae-Lobatae*. Species acronyms: *Q. cas*: *Q. castanea*; *Q. des*: *Q. deserticola*; *Q. can*: *Q. candicans*; *Q. rug*: *Q. rugosa*; *Q. lae*: *Q. laeta*; *Q. cra*: *Q. crassipes*; *Q. mag*: *Q. magnoliifolia*; *Q. gla*: *Q. glaucooides*; *Q. gen*: *Q. gentryi*.

	<i>Q. cas</i>	<i>Q. des</i>	<i>Q. can</i>	<i>Q. rug</i>	<i>Q. lae</i>	<i>Q. cra</i>	<i>Q. mag</i>	<i>Q. gla</i>	<i>Q. gen</i>
<i>Q. cas</i>	0.99								
<i>Q. des</i>	0.28*	0.99							
<i>Q. can</i>	0.06	0.1	0.99						
<i>Q. rug</i>	0.19	0.06	0.29*	0.99					
<i>Q. lae</i>	0.8*	0.28+	0.08	0.2	0.99				
<i>Q. cra</i>	0.35×	0.12	0.17	0.37*	0.42*	0.99			
<i>Q. mag</i>	0.57*	0.36+	0.1	0.09	0.61+	0	0.99		
<i>Q. gla</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.99	
<i>Q. gen</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.99

— *Q. rugosa*, *Q. crassipes* — *Q. rugosa* and *Q. crassipes* — *Q. laeta*. We also detected three associations between species within the *Quercus* section (*Q. deserticola* — *Q. laeta*, *Q. deserticola* — *Q. magnoliifolia* and *Q. laeta* — *Q. magnoliifolia*) and one association between species from the *Lobatae* section (*Q. castanea* — *Q. crassipes*) (Table 5).

Discussion

In this study, we detected that oak species distribution differs at the landscape level. Particularly, water availability and both rain and temperature seasonality were the most determining factors for the distribution pattern of oak species. However, the distribution of some oak species was not related neither with climatic nor edaphic factors. Overall, this suggests that at the study area oak species are segregated along the landscape, which might limit competition between them and therefore, facilitating their coexistence. Nevertheless, not all the variation was explained by the environmental gradients, implying that other factors, such as species interactions, might be influencing their distribution. However, we observed that some species are frequently associated between them, and this pattern of coexistence is more common between the more distantly related species.

Previous studies have established the climatic affinity of oak species (Kappelle and Van-Uffelen 2006; Nixon, 2006); for example *Quercus glaucooides* and *Q. magnoliifolia* in Mexico are more frequently observed at warmer and drier sites (Rzedowski, 1978; Fernández-Nava et al., 1998). Cuitzeo basin has a very complex topography, nevertheless, we observed that temperature and precipitation were guiding the species distribution, both factors vary strongly from north to south of the basin. In particular, we detected that the northern part of the basin has a marked dry season, receive less amount of precipitation and is warmer. In contrast, the southern part has a higher precipitation and lower temperature. This gradient of temperature and precipitation plays an important role determining oak species distribution at the landscape scale. Particularly, *Q. deserticola*, *Q. glaucooides* and *Q. gentryi* are more frequent at warmer and drier sites. In contrast, *Q. candicans*, *Q. rugosa*, *Q. crassipes* occur at sites that receive a higher amount of precipitation and lower temperatures. Interestingly, *Q. castanea*, *Q. magnoliifolia* and *Q. laeta* were located at the center of the CCA analysis, suggesting a clear relationship between the environmental variables included in the analysis and its distribution. Our study supports the findings from previous works where it has been reported that oak species distribution are affected by the variation of precipitation or soil moisture gradients (Cavender-Bares et al., 2004; Olvera-Vargas et al., 2010; de la Riva et al., 2014). Overall, this suggests that the pattern of distribution of the oak species might reflect functional adaptations to survive under water stress or to efficiently exploit high levels of water availability. However, the CCA analysis was only able to explain a fraction of the variation indicating that other factors might influence the species distribution.

The niche overlap analysis also confirmed the oak species partitioning of the environmental gradient and that the pattern of distribution was independent of the taxonomic section. We also detected associations between pair of species; the most frequent association occurred between distantly related species belonging to *Quercus* and *Lobatae* sections. The finding that two species share the same climatic niche suggests that they are functional equivalent. Nevertheless, a recent study had detected strong functional differentiation among these nine oak species (Aguilar-Romero personal communication). Two hypotheses could explain the association among oak species. The first one indicates that coexistence could be promoted by being temporally separated in their growth stages (i.e. acorn maturity and seedling establishment at different moments of the year) (Cavender-Bares and Pahlich 2009; Olvera-Vargas *et al.* 2010; Pérez-López *et al.* 2013) or by exploiting different areas of the soil water-table depth (López-Barrera *et al.* 2006; Pérez-López *et al.* 2013). Another hypothesis is that one of the species could get advantage from the presence of the other by positive synergic effects, which could promote the establishment and survival of both species in the same site (Chávez-Vergara and García-Oliva 2013). It has been shown that when more than two species inhabit the same area, the community of microorganisms increments its abundance and activity promoting higher soil nutrient availability (Gartner and Cardon, 2004). Therein, a recent study found that *Quercus deserticola* benefits *Q. castanea* by incorporating nutrients to the soil and facilitating the decomposition of litter (Chávez-Vergara and García-Oliva 2013; Chávez-Vergara *et al.* 2014; 2015). Overall, this complementarity effects might promote coexistence. Nonetheless, further research needs to be conducted to determine the generality of this mechanism among oak species.

Conclusions

Our study exhibits a non-random pattern of oak species distribution at the landscape level. The species distribution was mainly determined by the environmental heterogeneity within the basin. Particularly, we detected that species restricted to the south of the basin experience higher water availability and lower temperatures, at the other extreme species at the north, receive lower precipitation with a marked dry season and higher temperatures. Overall, the study suggests that oak species differ in their resource use strategy and in their tolerance to water stress, and that species segregate along the environmental gradient to avoid competition among them. However, part of the variation in the pattern of oak species distribution was not explained by the environmental heterogeneity. At the same time, we detected associations of species, being the most recurrent between species from different taxonomic sections, which suggests positive synergic interactions allowing coexistence of species.

Acknowledgements

The author appreciate the assistance of S. Manzo, S. Sesmas, U. Zamudio, E. Olvera, D. Ibarra, F. Rivera, C. Figueredo during data collection, and the kind help of Dr. Victor Rocha and Rodrigo Velázquez Durán during data management and nutrient determination in lab. This work was funded by PAPIIT-DGAPA, UNAM (IN213113 and IV201015) and CONACYT 240136. This paper constitutes a partial fulfillment of Graduate Program in Biological Sciences of the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM) for R. Aguilar Romero. R. A.-R. acknowledges the scholarship and financial support provided by the National Council of Science and Technology (CONACyT) (215468), and UNAM. F. P.-G. acknowledges the DGAPA-UNAM postdoctoral scholarships.

Literature cited

- Abrams M.D. 1990. Adaptations and responses to drought in *Quercus* species of North America. *Tree Physiology* **7**:227-238.
- Abrams D.M. 2003. Where has all the white oaks gone? *BioScience* **53**:927-939.
- Ackerly D.D. 2003. Community assembly, niche conservatism, and adaptive evolution in changing environments. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **164**:S165-S184.

- Ackerly D.D., Schwillk D.W. and Webb C.O. 2006. Niche evolution and adaptive radiation: testing the order of trait divergence. *Ecology* **87**:S50-S61.
- Aguilar R., Ghilardi A., Vega E., Skutsch M. and Oyama K. 2012. Sprouting productivity and allometric relationships of two oak species managed for traditional charcoal making in central Mexico. *Biomass and Bioenergy* **36**:192-207.
- Bremner J.M. 1996. Nitrogen total. In: Sparks D.L., Page A.L., Helmke P.A., Loeppert R.H., Soltanpour P.N., Tabatabai M.A., Johnston C.T. and Summer M.E. Eds. *Methods of soil analyses Part 3: Chemical analyses*, pp. 1085-1121. Soil Science Society of America and American Society of Agronomy, Madison.
- Brokaw N., and Busing R.T. 2000. Niche versus chance and tree diversity in forest gaps. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **15**:183-188.
- Cavender-Bares J. and Pahlich A. 2009. Molecular, morphological and ecological niche differentiation of sympatric sister oaks species, *Quercus virginiana* and *Q. germinata* (Fagaceae). *American Journal of Botany* **96**:1690-1702.
- Cavender-Bares J., Kitajima K. and Bazzaz F.A. 2004. Multiple trait associations in relation to habitat differentiation among 17 Floridian oak species. *Ecological Monographs* **74**:635-662.
- Chávez-Vergara B. and García-Oliva F. 2013. Consecuencias funcionales de la diferenciación taxonómica entre secciones del género *Quercus*: el caso de la reabsorción de nutrientes. *Biológicas Publicación Especial Núm.* **1**:1-7.
- Chávez-Vergara B., Merino A., Vázquez-Marrufo G. and García-Oliva F. 2014. Organic matter dynamics and microbial activity during decomposition of forest floor under two native Neotropical oak species in a temperate deciduous forest in Mexico. *Geoderma* **235-236**:133-145.
- Chávez-Vergara B.M., González-Rodríguez A., Etchevers J.D., Oyama K. and García-Oliva F. 2015. Foliar nutrient resorption constrains soil nutrient transformations under two native oak species in a temperate deciduous forest in Mexico. *European Journal of Forest Research* **134**:803-817.
- Correa-Ayram C.A., Mendoza M.E., Etter A. and Pérez-Saliciup D.R. (in press) Potential distribution of Mountain Cloud Forest in Michoacán, México: Priorization for conservation in the context of landscape connectivity. *Applied Geography*
- Cuervo-Robayo A.P., Téllez-Valdés O., Gómez-Albores M.A., Venegas-Barrera C.S., Manjarrez J. and Martínez-Meyer E. 2014. An update of high-resolution monthly climate surfaces for Mexico. *International Journal of Climatology* **34**:2427-2437.
- de la Riva E.G., Pérez-Ramos I.M., Navarro-Fernández C.M., Olmo M., Maraion T. and Villar R. 2014. Rasgos funcionales en el género *Quercus*: estrategias adquisitivas frente a conservativas en el uso de recursos. *Ecosistemas* **23**:82-89.
- ESRI [Environmental Systems Research Institute] 1999. Arcview GIS 3.3. Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands.
- Faith D.P., Minchin P.R. and Belbin L. 1987. Compositional dissimilarity as a robust measure of ecological distance. *Vegetatio* **69**:57-68.
- Fernández-Nava R., Rodríguez-Jiménez C., Arreguín-Sánchez M. de la L. and Rodríguez-Jiménez A. 1998. Listado florístico de la Cuenca del Río Balsas, México. *Polibotánica* **9**:1-151.
- Gartner T.B. and Cardon Z.G. 2004. Decomposition dynamics in mixed-species leaf litter. *Oikos* **104**:230-246.
- Herrera-Arroyo M.L., Sork V.L., González-Rodríguez A., Rocha-Ramírez V., Vega E. and Oyama K. 2013. Seed-mediated connectivity among fragmented populations of *Quercus castanea* (Fagaceae) in a Mexican landscape. *American Journal of Botany* **100**:1663-1671.
- Hubbell S. 2001. *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography*. Monographs in Population Biology 32, Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford.
- Huffman E. 1977. Performance of a new automatic carbon dioxide coulometer. *Microchemical Journal* **22**:567-573.
- Huston M.A. 2002. Introductory essay: critical issues for improving predictions. In: Scott J.M., Heglund P.J., Morrison M.L., Hauffer J.B., Raphael M.G., Wall W.A. and Samson F.B. (Eds.) *Predicting Species Occurrences: Issues of Accuracy and Scale*, pp. 7-21, Island Press, Washington D.C.
- Huston M.A. 1994. *Biological diversity. The coexistence of species on changing landscapes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Kaye J.P., Resh S.C. Kaye M.W. and Chimner R.A. 2000. Nutrient and carbon dynamics in a replacement differentiation of *Eucalyptus* and *Albizia* trees. *Ecology* **81**:3267-3273.
- Kappelle M. and Van-Uffelen J.G. 2006. Altitudinal zonation of montane oak forests along climate and soil gradients in Costa Rica. In: Kappelle M. Ed. *Ecology and Conservation of Neotropical Montane Oak Forests*, pp. 39-54, Ecological studies 185, Springer, Berlin.
- Kelly C.K., Bowler M.G., Pybus O. and Harvey P.H. 2008. Phylogeny, niches, and relative abundance in natural communities. *Ecology* **89**:962-970.

- Leal-Nares O.A., Mendoza M.E. and Carranza-González E. 2010. Análisis y modelamiento espacial de información climática en la cuenca de Cuitzeo, México. *Investigaciones Geográficas, Boletín del Instituto de Geografía UNAM* Núm. 72:49-67.
- López-Barrera F., Manson R.H., González-Espinosa M. and Newton A.C. 2006. Effects of the type of montane forest edge on oak seedling establishment along forest-edge-exterior gradients. *Forest Ecology and Management* 225:234-244.
- Marquín J., Lastra J. and García P. 2003. Estimation models for precipitation in mountainous regions: the use of GIS and multivariate analysis. *Journal of Hydrology* 270:1-11.
- Meave J.A., Rincón A. and Romero-Romero M.A. 2006. Oak forest of the hyper-humid region of La Chinantla, Northern Oaxaca Range, Mexico. In: Kappelle M. (Ed.) *Ecology and Conservation of Neotropical Montane Oak forests*, pp. 113-125, Ecological Studies 185, Springer, Berlin.
- Mendoza M.E., Bocco G., Bravo M., López-Granados E. and Osterkamp W.R. 2006. Predicting water-surface fluctuation of continental lakes: ARS and GIS based approach in central Mexico. *Water Resources Management* 20:291-311.
- Mills P.H. 2009. A review of the evidence for pine-oak niche differentiation in the American Southwest. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28:92-107.
- Murphy J. and Riley J.P. 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 27:31-36.
- Nakashizuka T. 2001. Species coexistence in temperate, mixed deciduous forests. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16:205-210.
- Nixon K.C. 1993. The genus *Quercus* in Mexico. In: Ramamoorthy T.P., Bye R., Lot A. and Fa J. (Eds.) *Biological Diversity of Mexico: Origins and Distribution*, pp. 447-458, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Nixon K.C. 2006. Global Neotropical distribution and diversity of oak (genus *Quercus*) and oak forest. In: Kappelle, M. Eds. *Ecology and Conservation of Neotropical Montane Oak Forests*, pp. 3-13, Ecological Studies 185, Springer, Berlin.
- Oksanen J., Blanchet F.G., Kindt R., Legendre P., O'Hara R.G., Simpson G.L., Solymos P., Stevens H. and Wagner H. 2010. Vegan: community ecology package. R package, version 1.18-2/r1135. Available: <<http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/vegan/>> (consulted: October 15, 2014).
- Olvera-Vargas M., Figueroa-Rangel B.L. and Vázquez-López J.M. 2010. Is there environmental differentiation in the *Quercus*-dominated forest of west-central Mexico? *Plant Ecology* 211:321-335.
- Pérez-Ramos I.M., Urbieto I.R., Zavala A.M. and Marañón T. 2012. Ontogenetic conflicts and rank reversals in two Mediterranean oak species: implications for coexistence. *Journal of Ecology* 100:467-477.
- Pérez-López P., López-Barrera F., García-Oliva F., Cuevas-Reyes P. and González-Rodríguez A. 2013. Procesos de regeneración natural en bosques de encinos: factores facilitadores y limitantes. *Revista Biológicas Publicación Especial* Núm. 1:18-24.
- Rodríguez-Trejo D.A. and Myers R.L. 2010. Using oak characteristics to guide fire regime restoration in Mexican pine-oak and oak forests. *Ecological Restoration* 28:304-323.
- Silvertown J. 2004. Plant coexistence and the niche. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 19:605-611.
- Rzedowski J. 1978. *Vegetación de México*. Limusa, México D.F.
- Scott J.M., Heglund P.J., Morrison M.L., Haufler J.B., Raphael M.G., Wall W.A. and Samson F.B. (Eds.) 2002. *Predicting Species Occurrences: Issues of Accuracy and Scale*. Island Press, Washington D.C.
- Thuiller W., Araújo M.B. and Lavorel S. 2003. Generalized models vs. classification tree analysis: Predicting spatial distributions of plant species at different scales. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 14:669-680.
- Tilman D. 1982. *Resource Competition and Community Structure*. Monographs in Population Biology 17, Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Tilman D. 2002. Niche tradeoffs, neutrality, and community structure: A stochastic theory of resource competition, invasion, and community assembly. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 101:10854-10861.
- Tokeshi M. 1999. *Species Coexistence: Ecological and Evolutionary Perspectives*. Blackwell Scientific, Oxford.
- Torres-Meza M.J., Báez-González A.D., Maciel-Pérez L.H., Quezada-Guzmán E. and Sierra-Tristán J.S. 2009. GIS-based modeling of the geographic distribution of *Quercus emoryi* Torr. (Fagaceae) in Mexico and identification of significant environmental factors influencing the species' distribution. *Ecological Modelling* 220:3599-3611.
- Torres-Miranda A. 2009. Análisis biogeográfico de los encinos rojos (Género *Quercus* sección *Lobatae*) en México con comentarios sobre su taxonomía. Tesis de maestría, Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM. México D.F. 143 pp.
- Torres Miranda C.A. 2014. Importancia de los ensambles de especies y de los procesos geológico-climáticos en la comprensión de los mecanismos de evolución de la sección *Lobatae* del género *Quercus* en América del Norte. Tesis de Doctoral, Posgrado en Ciencias Biológicas, UNAM. México D.F., 237 pp.

Received:
July 16th, 2015

Accepted:
November 2nd, 2015

- Torres-Miranda A., Luna-Vega I. and Oyama K. 2011. Conservation biogeography of red oaks (*Quercus* L., section *Lobatae*) in Mexico and Central America. *American Journal of Botany* **98**:290-305.
- Tuomisto H., Ruokolainen K. and Yli-Halla M. 2003. Dispersal, environment and floristic variation of western Amazonian forests. *Science* **299**:241-244.
- Valencia-A. S. 2004. Diversidad del género *Quercus* (Fagaceae) en México. *Boletín de la Sociedad Botánica de México* **75**:33-53.
- Villaseñor J.L. 2003. Diversidad y distribución de las Magnoliophyta de México. *Interciencia* **28**:160-167.
- Villaseñor J.L. 2004. Los géneros de plantas vasculares de la flora de México. *Boletín de la Sociedad Botánica de México* **75**:105-135.
- Warwick R.M., Clarke K.R. and Suharsono. 1990. A statistical analysis of coral community responses to the 1982-83 El Niño in the Thousand Islands, Indonesia. *Coral Reefs* **8**:171-179.
- Zavala-Chávez F. 1998. Observaciones sobre la distribución de encinos en México. *Polibotánica* **8**:47-64.