Resistance to Pepper huasteco yellow vein virus and its heritability in wild genotypes of *Capsicum annuum*

JESÚS ENRIQUE RETES-MANJARREZ^{1,2,*}, SERGIO HERNÁNDEZ-VERDUGO¹, BENEDICTE PARIAUD², LUIS ALBERTO HERNÁNDEZ-ESPINAL¹, SAÚL PARRA-TERRAZA¹, DIANA LILIA TREJO-SAAVEDRA³, RAFAEL FRANCISCO RIVERA-BUSTAMANTE⁴ AND JOSÉ ANTONIO GARZÓN-TIZNADO⁴

Botanical Sciences
96 (1): 52-62, 2018

DOI: 10.17129/botsci.1029

Received:
November 25th, 2016
Accepted:
December 5th, 2017
Associated Editor:
Juan Núñez Farfán

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

¹ Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa. Culiacán Sinaloa, México.

² Centre de Recherche La Costière, Vilmorin SA, Ledenon, Francia.

³ Departamento de Ingeniería Genética, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Unidad Irapuato, Guanajuato, México.

⁴ Facultad de Ciencias Químico Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa. Culiacán Sinaloa, México.

* Corresponding author: retesmje@hotmail.com

Abstract

Background: *Pepper huasteco yellow vein virus* (PHYVV) is one of the main viruses affecting pepper (*Capsicum* spp.) plants in Mexico.

Question: Why there are no pepper resistant cultivars to PHYVV currently? Could it be due for the lack of new pepper resistant sources and knowledge about the heritability of the resistant trait?

Study species: *Capsicum annuum*, *Pepper huasteco yellow vein virus* and *Bemisia tabaci*.

Study site: Culiacan Sinaloa, Mexico; January 2013 to August 2014.

Methods: Two assays were performed in 2013 and 2014 with three resistant wild lines of *Capsicum annuum* in the S2 and S3 generation under greenhouse conditions to analyze the resistance to the *Pepper huasteco yellow vein virus* (PHYVV) and its heritability. Plants were inoculated with PHYVV through *Bemisia tabaci* G. and by grafting.

Results: Line UAS12 showed a significantly higher proportion of resistant plants, longer incubation time, and less amount of viral DNA, followed by lines UAS13, UAS10 and the Maverick cultivar under both inoculation methods in both assays. Distribution of symptoms revealed a bimodal tendency in both assays. The novel gene “CchGLP” which confer resistance to PHYVV in pepper plants, was identified in the three lines evaluated on this study. Heritability of line UAS12 was of 0.35 and 0.26 in the insects and grafting inoculations, and of 0.58 and 0.10 in the first and second assays, respectively. Lines UAS13 and UAS10 showed close to zero heritability in the first and second assays with both inoculation methods.

Conclusions: Line UAS12 is the most promising genetic resource for its high resistance and for showing heritability for the resistance trait. The intermediate resistance of lines UAS13 and UAS10 could be also useful for breeding programs. At least two genes are involved in the resistance trait to PHYVV. Part of the resistance shown in these lines may be due to the presence of the “CchGLP” gene. Line UAS12 count with variability for the resistant trait and can, therefore, be used to improve resistance and the other two lines possibly are stable as they did not show heritability.

Key words: *Capsicum annuum*, Gene CchGLP, Heritability, PHYVV, Resistance.

Resumen

Antecedentes: El Virus huasteco vena amarilla del chile (PHYVV) es uno de los principales virus que afectan a las plantas de chile en México.

Preguntas: ¿Por qué actualmente no hay cultivares de chile resistentes a PHYVV? ¿Podría ser esto debido a la falta de fuentes de resistencia y conocimiento de la heredabilidad del carácter de resistencia?

Especies de estudio: *Capsicum annuum*, Virus huasteco vena amarilla del chile y *Bemisia tabaci*.

Sitio de estudio: Culiacán Sinaloa, México; Enero de 2013 a Agosto de 2014.

Métodos: Se efectuaron dos ensayos en 2013 y 2014 con tres líneas silvestres resistentes de *Capsicum annuum* generación S2 y S3 para analizar la resistencia al *Virus huasteco vena amarilla del chile* (PHYVV) y su heredabilidad. Las plantas fueron inoculadas con PHYVV a través de *Bemisia tabaci* G. y por injerto.

Resultados: La línea UAS12 mostró significativamente mayor proporción de plantas resistentes, menor nivel de síntomas, mayor tiempo de incubación y menor cantidad de ADN viral, seguida de las líneas UAS13, UAS10 y del cultivar Maverick bajo ambos métodos de inoculación en ambos ensayos. La distribución de los síntomas mostró una tendencia bimodal en ambos ensayos. El novedoso gen “CchGLP” que confiere resistencia a PHYVV en plantas de chile, fue identificado en las tres líneas evaluadas de este estudio. Las heredabilidades de la línea UAS12 fueron 0.35 y 0.26 en la inoculación por insectos y por injertos, y de 0.58 y 0.10 en el primer y segundo ensayo, respectivamente. Las líneas UAS13 y UAS10 mostraron heredabilidad cercana a cero en el primer y segundo ensayo con ambos métodos de inoculación.

Conclusiones: La línea UAS12 es el recurso genético más prometedor por su alta resistencia y por mostrar heredabilidad para el carácter de resistencia. La resistencia intermedia de las líneas UAS13 y UAS10 puede ser útil en los programas de mejoramiento. Al menos dos genes están involucrados en el carácter de resistencia a PHYVV. Parte de la resistencia mostrada de estas líneas puede ser debida a la presencia del gen “CchGLP”. La línea UAS12 cuenta con variabilidad para el carácter de resistencia con la cual se puede seguir haciendo uso para mejorar la resistencia y las otras líneas posiblemente se encuentran estables porque no mostraron heredabilidad.

Palabras clave: *Capsicum annuum*, Gen CchGLP, Heredabilidad, PHYVV, Resistencia.

he *Pepper huasteco yellow vein virus* (PHYVV) is one of the main viruses affecting pepper (*Capsicum* spp.) plants and other Solanaceae in Mexico (García-Nerfá & Rivera-Bustamante 2011). The virus is widely disseminated in Mexico, the South of the USA, and Guatemala (Torres-Pacheco *et al.* 1996, Nakhla *et al.* 2005). PHYVV is a member of the genus *Begomovirus* (Subgroup III) and belongs to the family *Geminiviridae* (Palmer & Rybicky 1997). This virus has as hosts several dicotyledoneous plants, like pepper (*Capsicum* spp.), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.), tobacco (*Nicotiana* spp.), husk tomato (*Physalis* spp.), *Solanum rostratum* D., *Cucurbita* spp., *Helianthus annuus* L., *Datura* spp., *Carica papaya* L., *Sorghum halepense* P., and *Melia azedarach* L. (Garzón-Tiznado *et al.* 2002). Its bipartite genome is transmitted by *Bemisia tabaci* G, which is widely distributed worldwide and causes the most devastating *Geminivirus* diseases (Morales & Anderson 2001, Varma & Malathi 2003). The main symptoms of PHYVV in pepper plants are veins yellowing, leaves distortion, yellow mosaic, curling leaves, stunted plants and reduction of yields (Garzón-Tiznado *et al.* 1993).

Management of this *Begomovirus* has been based mainly on the chemical control through the use of insecticides against vector insects. This method has resulted particularly effective, costly, and represents a biohazard (Borah & Dasgupta 2012). An effective alternative, without bio-risk, and accepted for the integrated management of *Begomovirus* is the development of resistant genotypes to this group of pathogens (Shankarappa *et al.* 2008). The first step for the development of resistant cultivars to diseases is the screening of wild and/or domesticated genetic resources, to be used afterwards in the genetic breeding programs of agricultural crops (Pickersgill 1997). There are several studies that showed that wild relatives of *Capsicum* maintain high levels of genetic variation (Hernández-Verdugo *et al.* 2001a, Oyama *et al.* 2006, González-Jara *et al.* 2011, Pacheco-Olvera *et al.* 2012), therefore they can be an important source in the search of resistance to PHYVV. There are several reports of resistance sources to PHYVV in *Capsicum*. Trujillo-Aguirre & Díaz-Plaza (1995) found genetic resistance to PHYVV and PepGMV in wild populations of *Capsicum chinense* from Southeast Mexico and Hernández-Verdugo *et al.* (2001b) and Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016) found genetic resistance to PHYVV in wild populations of *Capsicum annuum* from Northwest Mexico. Despite that these resistance sources are promising for the genetic breeding programs of *Capsicum*, to this date no pepper cultivars resistant to PHYVV have been described yet. This could be due to the lack of studies on the genetic basis of the resistance trait or of new sources of resistance to PHYVV.

Genes that code for germin-like proteins that confer resistance to viruses and bacteria in species like *Capsicum* spp., *Beta vulgaris*, *Triticum* spp., and *Hordeum vulgare* have been reported (Park *et al.* 2004, Knetch *et al.* 2010). In *Capsicum* spp., Barrera-Pacheco *et al.* (2008) identified a resistance gene in plants of *Capsicum chinense* Jacq accession BG-3821, and reported it as resistant to PHYVV and PepGMV, after that León-Galván *et al.* (2011) naming it as “CchGLP”. Several studies have shown that this gene codes for a germin-like protein, which has been associated to provide an important resistance to PHYVV in the BG-3821 genotype of *Capsicum chinense* (Anaya-López *et al.* 2005; León-Galván *et al.* 2011; Guevara-Olvera *et al.* 2012, Mejía-Teniente *et al.* 2015).

It is known that from an agronomic trait to be subjected to selection in genetic breeding programs, it needs to have a genetic basis and must be heritable (Falconer & Mackay 1996). Heritability (h^2) measures the proportion of total phenotypic variance due to additive genetic causes, determines the rhythm at which the population mean evolves in response to natural or artificial selection. The most important aspect of h^2 is its predictive function in traits of interest to help improve the design of genetic breeding programs. Determination of h^2 is one of the main objectives of the genetic study in a metric trait (Falconer & Mackay 1996). Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016) reported that the PHYVV-resistance trait showed an h^2 average of 0.17 in one analyzed generation of three wild populations of *Capsicum annuum* from Northwest Mexico. However, it is important to continue applying selection on the resistant genotypes to PHYVV to analyze and measure the response to the selection of the resistant trait in two more generations of these genotypes to get a better understanding of the heritability and behavior of this resistant trait to design the best genetic model of introgression of this trait into desirable pepper background.

This study is a continuation of the research done by Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016), where they found three promising resistant population of wild *Capsicum annuum* to PHYVV and where the

Author contributions

Jesús Enrique Retes-Manjarrez: Ph. D. student who carried out all the field work and the writing of this manuscript.

Sergio Hernández-Verdugo: Director of Ph. D. research. Design and coordination of this research and the preparation of this manuscript.

Benedicte Pariaud: Research advisor. Design and coordination of this research and the preparation of this manuscript. She also was the connection to make one part of this research within the facilities of the Vilmorin de México company.

Saúl Parra-Terraza: Ph. D. research advisor. Design and coordination of this research and the preparation of this manuscript.

Luis Alberto Hernández-Espinal: He supported the molecular analysis on the detection of PHYVV virus and the CchGLP resistance gene in the genotypes studied.

Diana Lilia Trejo-Saavedra: She supported the molecular analysis on the DNA quantification of the PHYVV virus in the plants analyzed.

Rafael Francisco Rivera-Bustamante: He supported the molecular analysis on the DNA quantification of the PHYVV virus in the plants analyzed.

José Antonio Garzón-Tiznado: Co-Director of Ph. D. research. Design and coordination of this research and the preparation of this manuscript.

resistant plants generation S0 were self-pollinated individually to get and analyze the resistance and the heritability of the resistant trait to PHYVV in the S1 generation of the resistant plants. On this study we analyze the resistance and heritability of the resistant trait in the S2 and S3 generation of the same resistant lines developed by Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016). The main objectives of this study were to analyze the behavior of the resistant trait to PHYVV in three lines of *C. annuum* previously chosen as resistant by Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016), derived from wild populations of Northwest Mexico, and to estimate the heritability of this trait during two more generations. This will help breeders to count upon a greater diversity of resistance sources, thereby, be able to design better strategies for the incorporation of this PHYVV-resistance trait in future pepper cultivars.

Materials and methods

The source of viral inoculum, the insects vectors, the methodology used to inoculate and to evaluate the resistance were provided and following as Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016).

Vegetable material. In this study, the lines UAS10, UAS12, and UAS13 S2 generation were used for the first resistant assay of this research. These lines were derived from individual plants first generation S1 previously selected as resistant to PHYVV by Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016). These lines come from three different wild populations of *Capsicum annuum* of Northwest Mexico. As susceptible control to PHYVV, the *C. annuum* cultivar Maverick (United Genetics) was used. Seeds were germinated in trays with 200 polystyrene wells in a germination chamber at 30 ± 2 °C. All experiments were performed with 50-day-old plants under nursery conditions with temperatures between 22 and 34 °C during the whole duration of the study.

Source of viral inoculum. We used the strain PHYVV “M53”, which come from pepper plants Jalapeño type Cultivar Grande (Seminis). The inoculum-source plants were maintained in wooden entomologic cages, insect proof (40 cm length, 40 cm width by 60 cm height) and covered with organza fabric. Inoculating healthy plants of the Maverick cultivar through grafting increased the number of inoculum-source plants.

Identification of the virus. For PHYVV identification, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method was used with primers 241F and 241R that amplify a 350 bp fragment from the intergenic region of component A of the virus. PCR analysis used for PHYVV detection followed the description by Torres-Pacheco *et al.* (1996).

Source and maintenance of the vector insect. We used the insect source *Bemisia tabaci* biotype B free of the virus. The virus-free white flies were placed on cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) plants in wooden entomologic cages covered with organza fabric and kept in a nursery for 6 months at an average temperature of 28 ± 2 °C to obtain enough populations to be able to perform the PHYVV-inoculation assays. Cotton plants were substituted by young plants every two months.

Resistance assays. In this study, we performed two PHYVV-genetic resistant assays. The first assay was performed in February 2013. The insect inoculation and grafting methods were used. The insect inoculation consists of placing a plastic bottle with 20 viruliferous insects at adult stage on individual plants of the different genotypes for a 48 h transmission period. After inoculation, an imidacloprid (Confidor®, Bayer Crop Sciences) treatment was applied to eliminate insects. For the grafting inoculation, the methodology used was the reported by Garzón-Tiznado *et al.* (1993), which consists in placing individual spikes from the grafted inoculum source plants into the plants to be assessed. We inoculated 46, 34, and 28 plants of lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10 (S2) with each method, respectively, plus 100 plants of the Maverick cultivar 50 days after sowing. A completely randomized design was used in which each replicate was of one plant.

The second assay was performed in February 2014. The same methodology of inoculation with insects and grafting was used and the same experimental design applied in the first assay. Insect's inoculation was performed in 218, 163, and 166 plants generation S3 of the progeny of resistant plants from the first assay of lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10, respectively, and 250

plants of the Maverick cultivar. The grafting method was used to inoculate 80 plants of each line and of the susceptible control at 60 days after sowing.

Evaluation of viral resistance. Resistance to PHYVV was assessed based on the level of symptoms, time of symptoms appearance, and viral DNA concentration. Levels of resistance were evaluated through a scale of symptoms from 1 to 9 at 60 days post infection (dpi) in both assays, where 1 is without symptoms and 9 corresponds to a plant with the most severe symptoms, which include dwarf plants, with curling and distorted leaves with a clearly defined mosaic. To determine the time of virus incubation daily readings were made until the first symptoms appeared. Relative quantification of the PHYVV virus concentration was made through real time PCR (qPCR) according to the reactions and conditions described by Carrillo-Tripp *et al.* (2007). We analyzed nine randomly chosen plants from each genotype, UAS12, UAS13, UAS10, and Maverick from the first assay at 60 dpi. with PHYVV.

Plants that obtained a score equal or less than 4.0 were chosen as resistant and were self-pollinated to be used in the next assay. All plants from both assays were analyzed for the presence of PHYVV viral DNA by PCR to discard escape plants.

Molecular analysis of PHYVV resistance. We analyzed individual leaves of nine plants of each of the assessed lines in the second assay to verify the possible presence of a DNA sequence similar to the gene *CchGLP*. Plants were taken according to their high or intermediate resistance from lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10 S3, plus two samples of leaves of two individual plants of the Maverick cultivar. To detect a similar gene *CchGLP*, end point PCR was used according to the reactions and conditions described by León-Galván *et al.* (2011), with small modifications. Modifications consist of different specific primers that were designed based on accession number DQ677335.2, which contains the complete sequence of the *CchGLP* gene of *Capsicum chinense* BG-3821. The specific primers used on this study were CCRVF (forward primer; 5'-TTGGCTACCCTAATCTTGA-3') and CCRVR (reverse primer; 5'-TCCTTGATGAAGCTACGAT-3'), that amplified a predicted fragment of the gen *CchGLP* of 569 pb. The PCR products (10 μ l) were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with Gel Red, visualized in a UV transilluminator (Gel-Doc 2000, BIORAD). The length of the fragments obtained was compared to the 1kb molecular weight marker DNA (GIBCO BRL).

DNA extraction of all analyzed plants was made following Dellaporta *et al.* (1983) method. PCR products were visualized in 1 % agarose gel. The amplified fragments were purified by commercial microcolumns (PureLink) and, then, sequenced according to Sanger *et al.* (1977) to confirm the presence of PHYVV and the gene *CchGLP*. The obtained sequence was compared to other PHYVV and *CchGLP* sequences registered in GenBank (NCBI). Estimation of sequence similarity of the analyzed sequences was achieved with BLAST (<www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST>; Altschul *et al.* 1990).

Data analysis. Data obtained from the assessments of genetic resistance to PHYVV from the two assays and from the data to compare the two inoculation methods were subjected to non-parametric variance analysis with the Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn median to determine the significance among genotypes ($p \leq 0.05$). Data from virus incubation and from DNA viral concentration of PHYVV were analyzed by a parametric variance analysis and through the Tukey test to determine significance among genotypes ($p \leq 0.05$). All statistical analyses were performed with the SAS software (SAS 1996).

Heritability. The response to the observed selection leads to an estimation of heritability, in narrow sense also called "realized heritability" (h^2), this measures the proportion of the total phenotypic variance that is determined only by the additive genetic variance and, therefore, excludes the contribution due to the dominant and epistatic variance. We estimated h^2 by means of the equation $h^2 = R/S$, where R is the response to selection, and S is the selection differential. Response to selection R is determined by the difference between the mean of the progenitor population and the mean of the progeny population. The selection differential (S) was obtained by means of the difference between the mean of the progenitor population and the mean of the plants selected as resistant (Falconer & Mackay 1996).

Table 1. Results of the first assay on genetic resistance of lines UAS12, UAS13, UAS10 and the Maverick cultivar inoculated with PHYVV through *Bemisia tabaci* biotype B and the grafting method. Genotype, inoculation method, incidence of disease: number of resistant plants (NRP) and total tested plants (TTP), average index of symptoms severity (means), range of studied symptoms, time of virus incubation in days, and relative concentration of viral DNA in number of viral copies (qPCR) at 60 dpi.

Genotype	Inoculation Method	NRP/TTP	Means	Range	Incubation	qPCR
A) UAS12	Insects	38/46 (83 %)	3.6 c	1-9	29 a	11.9 c
UAS13	Insects	10/34 (29 %)	6.5 b	2-9	14 b	26.5 b
UAS10	Insects	4/28 (14 %)	7.0 b	2-9	16 b	35.9 b
Maverick	Insects	0/50 (0 %)	8.6 a	7-9	7 c	73.1 a
B) UAS12	Grafting	35/46 (76 %)	3.4 c	1-8	29 a	17.0 c
UAS13	Grafting	5/34 (15 %)	7.2 b	3-9	13 b	36.5 b
UAS10	Grafting	4/28 (14 %)	7.3 b	1-9	15 b	48.9 b
Maverick	Grafting	0/50 (0 %)	8.8 a	7-9	8 c	93.1 a

Means comparison made with the Fisher test ($p \leq 0.05$). Means with the same letter within each inoculation method indicate non-significant differences.

Results

First genetic resistance assay. Line UAS12 showed a significant higher proportion of resistant plants, less symptoms, longer incubation time, and less amount of viral DNA. No significant differences existed between lines UAS13 and UAS10, but they were significantly different from the Maverick cultivar, under both inoculation methods (insect and grafting) at 60 dpi. ($H = 164.95$; D.F. = 3, $p \leq 0.0001$) (Table 1).

Line UAS12 showed 38 of 46 (83 %) and 35 of 46 (76 %) resistant plants under inoculation with insects and grafting, respectively (Table 1A). Lines UAS13 and UAS10 had 10 of 34 (29.4 %) and 4 of 28 (14.3 %) resistant plants under inoculation with insects, respectively (Table 1A), whereas under grafting inoculation, there were 5 of 34 (14.7 %) and 4 of 28 (14.3 %) resistant plants, respectively (Table 1B). Levels of average symptoms of lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10 were of 3.6, 6.5, and 7.0, respectively, under insect's inoculation; under grafting, the values were 3.4, 7.2, and 7.3, respectively.

The three lines showed a high variation in the symptoms induced by PHYVV under both inoculation methods. Line UAS12 showed a range of 1 to 9 and of 1 to 8, UAS10 showed 2 to 9 and 1 to 9, whereas line UAS13 showed a range of 2 to 9 and 3-9 under insects and grafting inoculation, respectively (Table 1).

The time for the appearance of the first symptoms was in average of 29, 14, and 16 days for lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10, respectively, under insect's inoculation. With grafting inoculation, the first symptoms appeared in average at days 29, 13, and 15 days for lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10, respectively (Table 1).

Viral DNA concentration was on average 11.9 relative copies in line UAS12, whereas for lines UAS13 and UAS10, concentrations were 26.5 and 35.9, respectively, under insect's inoculation. With grafting inoculation, values were 17.0, 36.5, and 48.9 relative copies in lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10, respectively (Table 1).

The Maverick cultivar did not show any resistant plant, and showed an average level of symptoms of 8.6 and 8.8 under insect and grafting inoculation, respectively. The first symptoms appeared on average between days seven and eight under both inoculation methods; viral concentration was of 73.1 and 93.1 viral copies under insects and grafting inoculation, respectively (Table 1 A-B).

No significant differences existed between the insects and grafting inoculation methods in levels of symptoms, viral DNA quantification, and incubation of the virus of the assessed genotypes at 60 dpi ($H = 16.64$; D.F. = 1, $p = 0.956$).

The time of appearance of the first symptoms and the viral DNA concentration of PHYVV are negatively and significantly correlated with the average values of symptoms in the assessed genotypes ($r = -0.952$; $p = 0.0001$).

Figure 1. Frequencies distribution of disease symptoms (1-9) of the first (A = S2 generation) and second (B = S3 generation) assays in lines UAS12, UAS13 and UAS10 inoculated with the insects and grafting methods.

Of the plants classified as resistant from this assay, we chose 73 plants of lines UAS12, 15 of line UAS13, and 8 of line UAS10 as resistant progenitor plants. All these chosen plants were self-pollinated to be used in the second assay with the S3 generation of these plants.

Distribution of symptoms of the first assay. A bimodal tendency was observed in two groups of genes in the distribution of symptoms of the three lines (UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10) under the two inoculation methods (Figure 1A).

Second assay of genetic resistance. Line UAS12 showed a significantly higher proportion of resistant plants, less symptoms in plants, lower incubation time, and less amount of viral DNA. No significant differences existed between lines UAS13 and UAS10, but they were significantly different from the Maverick cultivar under both inoculation methods at 60 dpi. ($H = 702.44$; D.F. = 3 $p \leq 0.0001$) (Table 2).

Line UAS12 showed 189 of 218 (87 %) and 70 of 80 (87.5 %) resistant plants under the insects and grafting inoculation, respectively. Lines UAS13 and UAS10 had 34 of 163 (20.9 %) and 24 of 166 (14.5 %) resistant plants under the insects inoculation, whereas grafting inoculation yielded 14 of 80 (15.5 %) and 16 of 80 (20.0 %) resistant plants, respectively. Under insect's inoculation, the levels of average symptoms in lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10 were of 3.4, 6.7, and 7.1, respectively. With the grafting inoculation, lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10 depicted values of 3.3, 7.1, and 7.1, respectively.

The three lines showed again a high variation on the PHYVV symptoms under both inoculation methods. Line UAS12 showed a range of 1 to 9 and line UAS10 of 2 to 9 under both methods, whereas line UAS13 showed a range of 1 to 9 and of 3 to 9 under insects and grafting inoculations, respectively (Table 2).

Table 2. Results of the second assay on genetic resistance of lines UAS12, UAS13, UAS10 and the Maverick cultivar inoculated with PHYVV through *Bemisia tabaci* biotype B and the grafting method. Genotype, inoculation method, incidence of disease: number of resistant plants (NRP) and total tested plants (TTP), average index of symptoms severity (means), range of studied symptoms, time of virus incubation in days, and relative concentration of viral DNA in number of viral copies (qPCR) at 60 dpi.

Genotype	Inoculation method	NRP/TTP	Means	Range	Incubation
A) UAS12	Insects	189/218 (87 %)	3.4 c	1-9	30 a
UAS13	Insects	34/163 (21 %)	6.7 b	1-9	13 b
UAS10	Insects	24/166 (15 %)	7.1 b	2-9	16 b
Maverick	Insects	0/250 (0 %)	8.7 a	7-9	8 c
B) UAS12	Grafting	70/80 (88 %)	3.3 c	1-9	28 a
UAS13	Grafting	14/80 (16 %)	7.1 b	3-9	14 b
UAS10	Grafting	16/80 (20 %)	7.1 b	2-9	17 b
Maverick	Grafting	0/80 (0 %)	8.8 a	7-9	8 c

Means comparison made with the Fisher test ($p \leq 0.05$). Means with the same letter within each inoculation method indicate non-significant differences.

Appearance of the first symptoms was in average at days 30, 13, and 16 in lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10, respectively, under insects inoculation, and of 28, 14, and 17 days, under grafting inoculation, respectively (Table 2).

The Maverick cultivar showed no resistant plants, had an average level of symptoms of 8.7 and 8.8 under insects and grafting inoculation, respectively. The first symptoms appeared in average at 8 days under both inoculation methods (Table 2).

Figure 2. Detection of the predicted 569 bp fragment of one part of the gene CchGLP with pair of primers: CCRVF/CCRVR designed based on accession number DQ677335.2 deposited in the GenBank by León-Galván *et al.* (2011). Lane 1 and 7, MTM 1kb Plus DNA Ladder. Lane 2, 3 and 4, 569 bp predicted fragment amplified of the DNA extracted from one resistant plant of each line UAS12, UAS13 and UAS10. Lane 5 and 6, samples from the susceptible control (Maverick cv.).

There were no significant differences between the inoculation methods in the levels of symptoms, and in the incubation of the virus of the assessed genotypes at 60 dpi ($H = 2.60$; D.F. = 1, $p = 0.1064$).

The time of appearance of the first PHYVV symptoms was negatively and significantly correlated to the average values of the symptoms in the assessed genotypes ($r = -0.791$; $p = 0.0001$). Both methods had an infection efficacy of 100 % as viral DNA of PHYVV was detected in all plants of both assays by PCR method.

Distribution of symptoms in the second assay. Again, a bimodal tendency was observed of two groups of genes in the general distribution of symptoms of the three assessed lines under both inoculation methods (Figure 1B).

Molecular analysis from resistance Lines. The amplification of the expected 569 bp fragment was successfully detected in the 27 plant samples of the resistant lines UAS12, UAS13 and

Table 3. Heritability values for the resistance to PHYVV in wild genotypes of pepper plants. Inoculation method, genotype and number of generation, means of symptoms of base population, mean of plants selected as resistant, mean of the progeny, response to the selection (R), selection differential (S), and heritability (h^2).

Inoculation method	Genotype/ N° generation	Mean of the base population	Mean of selected plants	Mean of the progeny	R	S	h^2
A) Insects	UAS12/3	4.0	2.9	3.6	0.4	1.0	0.35
	UAS13/3	6.4	4.0	6.5	-0.1	2.4	0.00
	UAS10/3	6.2	3.0	7.0	-0.7	3.2	0.00
B) Grafting	UAS12/3	4.0	2.9	3.4	0.6	1.1	0.58
	UAS13/3	6.4	4.0	7.2	-0.8	2.4	0.00
	UAS10/3	6.2	3.0	7.3	-1.0	3.2	0.00
C) Insects	UAS12/4	3.6	2.7	3.4	0.2	0.9	0.26
	UAS13/4	6.5	3.4	6.7	-0.3	3.1	0.00
	UAS10/4	7.0	3.0	7.1	-0.1	4.0	0.00
D) Grafting	UAS12/4	3.4	2.4	3.3	0.1	0.9	0.10
	UAS13/4	7.2	3.2	7.1	0.1	4.0	0.02
	UAS10/4	7.3	2.5	7.1	0.2	4.8	0.04

UAS10 from the second assay (Figure 2). The sequencing analysis of the amplified fragment from the line UAS12, revealed a 98 % identity with accession DQ677335 that corresponds to the gene *CchGLP* recorded in the GenBank database (León-Galván *et al.* 2011).

Heritability to PHYVV resistance. The h^2 of PHYVV-resistance of line UAS12 was of 0.35 under insect's inoculation and of 0.58 under grafting inoculation in the first assay (Table 3 A-B). In the second assay, line UAS12 showed an h^2 of 0.26 under insect's inoculation and of 0.10 under grafting (Table 3 C-D). Lines UAS13 and UAS10 showed an h^2 of zero or close to zero in the first and second assays for the resistance trait under both inoculation methods (Table 3).

Discussion

Results of this study indicate that line UAS12 showed the highest and significant resistance degree because it presented the highest percentage of resistant plants, lower level of symptoms, longer time for the appearance of the first symptoms, and less relative amount of viral DNA, followed by lines UAS13, UAS10 that showed an intermediate resistance degree, and the Maverick cultivar that showed a high degree of susceptibility in both assays under both inoculation methods (Tables 1 and 2). These results indicate that different PHYVV resistance degrees existed among the assessed lines and, hence, these vary in their level of resistance to PHYVV (Tables 1 and 2). The present results agree with those reported by Hernández-Verdugo *et al.* (2001b), Godínez-Hernández *et al.* (2001), and Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016), who showed different levels of genetic resistance to PHYVV in wild populations of *Capsicum* in Mexico.

Line UAS12 showed the highest resistance to PHYVV; therefore, it is the most promising to be used in the resistance pepper breeding programs to this virus. On the other hand, lines UAS13 and UAS10 showed an intermediate resistance that can be used to complement the breeding programs as their level of resistance can be regulated by genes different from those of line UAS12 and, therefore, can be useful to improve or make more stable the resistance to PHYVV through genetic breeding methods. These results coincide with those reported by Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016), who indicated that the UAS12 population showed a higher resistance, whereas UAS13 and UAS10 population showed an intermediate resistance. The present results indicate that the resistance levels of lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10 are kept after three generations and that the resistance trait is inherited in a stable way.

The ranges of symptoms among the three lines (Tables 1 and 2) indicate that there is a high variation among them in their PHYVV resistance. This variation in the resistance allowed us to select plants with low indices of symptoms within each line in search of resistance against PHYVV and its show the need to continue with the self-pollination of those plants with the low-

est severity indices to obtain more homogeneous lines in terms of their PHYVV-resistance trait to be used in further genetic studies to elucidate the genetic basis of the resistance trait. Further studies to get more homozygous lines are already in progress.

The relative amount of PHYVV viral DNA revealed that line UAS12 had the lowest and significant average value, followed by lines UAS13 and UAS10, which showed a significant difference as compared to the Maverick cultivar. The viral replication in the resistant plants of the lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10 inoculated with insects and grafting was less efficient in 84, 64, 51 % and in 82, 61, 48 %, respectively, compared to the replication observed in the susceptible plants of the susceptible control. These results agree with those of García-Nerúa & Rivera-Bustamante (2011), who reported a viral replication of PepGMV of 70 % lower in accession BG-3821 resistant to PHYVV as compared to its susceptible control. These results also agree with those of Hernández-Verdugo *et al.* (2001b), Godínez-Hernández *et al.* (2001), Anaya-López *et al.* (2003), and García-Nerúa & Rivera-Bustamante (2011), who reported lower relative concentrations of PHYVV viral DNA among the genotypes considered as resistant and their susceptible controls against this virus in wild populations of *Capsicum*. The results suggest that lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10 possess defense mechanisms that diminish the viral DNA concentration.

The time of appearance of the first symptoms and the PHYVV viral DNA concentration correlated negatively and significantly with the average values of symptoms in the studied genotypes in the two assays under the same inoculation methods. The plants from lines with low levels of symptoms presented a significant delay in the time of appearance and low viral DNA concentration, indicating that the greater the delay in symptoms appearance and the lower the viral DNA concentration, a greater possibility exists that genotypes delay the expression and show low levels of symptoms severity.

According to the distribution of symptoms observed in the two assays, a bimodal tendency of two groups of genes was observed in all lines under the two inoculation methods and in the two assays (Figures 1 and 2). These results suggest that there are at least two genes involved in the resistance to PHYVV in these lines of wild *Capsicum annuum* L. These agrees with the report by García-Nerúa & Rivera-Bustamante (2011) who reported that the resistance trait to mixed infections by PHYVV and PepGMV in accession BG-3821 of *Capsicum chinense* is controlled by two genes with epistatic effects. On the other hand, Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016) observed the same bimodal tendency and high levels of variation on the symptoms of PHYVV and according to these results they also suggest that this resistant trait must be controlled by at least more than one gene. Unfortunately, there is no more literature about the genetic base of the resistance trait to PHYVV on pepper crop. Further studies with the S4, S5, S6 and S7 generation of these resistant lines are in progress to determinate and elucidate the genetic base of this important trait for future breeding programs.

The amplification of the expected 569 bp fragment from tissue leaf samples of resistant plants of the lines UAS12, UAS13, and UAS10 from the second assay, plus the similarity of 98 % of our sequence with the *CchGLP* gene (accession DQ677335.2), indicated the possibility of the presence of an open reading frame of PHYVV resistance similar to the reported by León-Galván *et al.* (2011). The results of this analysis agree in size, sequence, and association with the resistance to PHYVV found by León-Galván *et al.* (2011), Guevara-Olvera *et al.* (2012) and Mejía-Teniente *et al.* (2015).

In the first assay, line UAS12 S2 showed an h^2 of 0.35 and 0.58 with mean symptoms of 3.6 and 3.4 under the insects and grafting inoculation methods, respectively. In the second assay, line UAS12 G3 showed an h^2 of 0.26 and 0.10 with mean symptoms of 3.4 and 3.3 under the insects and grafting inoculation methods, respectively. These results indicate that line UAS12 showed a response to selection in its S2 and S3 and that the level of resistance presented an increasing pattern of resistance with respect to the S0 and S1 generations, in which Retes-Manjarrez *et al.* (2016) reported a mean of symptoms of 4.6 and 4.0, respectively, with an h^2 of 0.25. On the other side, lines UAS13 (S2 and S3) and UAS10 (S2 and S3) showed an h^2 of zero or close to zero without any gain in the levels of resistance in both assays with both inoculation methods in their S2 and S3 generation. The latter indicates that the resistance trait maintains variability in line UAS12, whereas in lines UAS10 and UAS13 the resistance trait has been relatively fixed.

The variability maintained by line UAS12 together with the resistance of lines UAS10 and UAS13 could be used to improve this trait. Therefore, the three lines are resistance sources that can be used in the genetic breeding programs. It has been reported that sources with moderate or low resistance to a *Begomovirus* in tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) are also useful for breeding programs, because genes that grant moderate or low resistance can complement those of high resistance by pyramiding of these genes in individual genotypes and, thereby, achieving a more stable resistance with less probability of disrupting the resistance due to accumulation of major or minor genes (Hutton *et al.* 2015).

Acknowledgments

Authors thank Vilmorin de México, for the support provided to this research, CONACYT for the fellowship granted to Jesús Enrique Retes Manjarrez for the doctoral studies in Agricultural and Sciences, and the *Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa* for the financial support to Sergio Hernández Verdugo (project PROFAPI 2015/123) and José Antonio Garzón Tiznado (project PROFAPI 2015/078).

Literature cited

- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **215**: 403-40. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
- Anaya-López JL, Torres-Pacheco I, González-Chavira M, Garzón-Tiznado JA, Pons-Hernández JL, Guevara-González RG, Muñoz-Sánchez CI, Guevara-Olvera L, Rivera-Bustamante RF, Hernández-Verdugo S. 2003. Resistance to geminivirus mixed infections in Mexican wild peppers. *HortScience* **38**: 251-255.
- Anaya-López JL, Pérez-Mora E, Torres-Pacheco I, Muñoz-Sánchez CI, Guevara-Olvera L, González-Chavira MM. 2005. Inducible gene expression by Pepper huasteco virus in *Capsicum chinense* plants with resistance to geminivirus infections. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology* **27**: 276-82. DOI: 10.1080/07060660509507225.
- Barrera-Pacheco A, Joaquín-Ramos A, Torres-Pacheco I, González-Chavira M, Pérez-Pérez C, Guevara-Olvera M, Guevara-González RG. 2008. Analysis of transcriptional expression induced in *Capsicum chinense* BG-3821 under conditions of biotic and abiotic stress. *Agrociencia* **42**: 95-106.
- Borah BK, Dasgupta I. 2012. Begomovirus research in India: a critical appraisal and the way ahead. *Journal of Bioscience* **37**: 791-806.
- Carrillo-Tripp J, Lozoya-Gloria E, Rivera-Bustamante RF. 2007. Symptom remission and specific resistance of pepper plants after infection by *Pepper golden mosaic virus*. *Phytopathology* **97**: 51-57. DOI: 10.1094/PHYTO-97-0051.
- Dellaporta SL, Woods J, Hicks JB. 1983. A plant miniprep, Version II. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* **1**: 19-21.
- Falconer DS, Mackay TFC. 1996. *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*. Edinburg: Pearson Education.
- García-Nerúa MA, Rivera-Bustamante RF. 2011. Characterization of Geminivirus Resistance in an Accession of *Capsicum chinense* Jacq. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **24**: 172-182. DOI: 10.1094/MPMI-06-10-0126.
- Garzón-Tiznado JA, Acosta-García G, Torres-Pacheco I, González-Chavira M, Rivera-Bustamante RF, Maya-Hernández V, Guevara-González RG. 2002. Presencia de los Geminivirus, huasteco del chile (PHV), Texano del chile variante Tamaulipas (TPV-T) y Chino del tomate (VCDT), en los estados de Guanajuato, Jalisco y San Luis Potosí, México. *Revista Mexicana de Fitopatología* **20**: 45-52.
- Garzón-Tiznado JA, Torres-Pacheco I, Ascencio-Ibañez JT, Herrera-Estrella L, Rivera-Bustamante RF. 1993. Inoculation of peppers with infection clones of a new geminivirus by biolistic procedure. *Phytopathology* **33**: 514-521.
- Godínez-Hernández Y, Anaya-López JL, Díaz-Plaza R, González-Chavira M, Torres-Pacheco I, Rivera-Bustamante RF, Guevara-González RG. 2001. Characterization of resistance to pepper huasteco geminivirus in chili peppers from Yucatan, Mexico. *HortScience* **36**: 139-142.
- González-Jara P, Moreno-Letelier A, Fraile A, Piñero D, García-Arenal F. 2011. Impact of Human Management on the Genetic Variation of Wild Pepper, *Capsicum annum* var. *glabriusculum*. *PLOS ONE* **6**: DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0028715.
- Guevara-Olvera L, Ruiz-Nito ML, Rangel-Cano RM, Torres-Pacheco I, Rivera-Bustamante RF, Muñoz-Sánchez CI, González-Chavira MM, Cruz-Hernández A, Guevara-González RG. 2012. Expression of a germin-like protein gene (CchGLP) from a geminivirus-resistant pepper (*Capsicum chinense* Jacq.)

- enhances tolerance to geminivirus infection in transgenic tobacco. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* **78**: 45-50. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2012.01.005.
- Hernández-Verdugo S, Guevara-González RG, Rivera-Bustamante RF, Oyama K. 1998. Los parientes silvestres del chile (*Capsicum* spp.) como recursos genéticos. *Boletín de la Sociedad Botánica de México* **62**: 171-181. DOI: 10.17129/botsoci.1559
- Hernández-Verdugo S, Luna-Reyes R, Oyama K. 2001a. Genetic structure and differentiation of wild and domesticated populations of *Capsicum annum* from Mexico. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **226**: 129-142. DOI: 10.1007/s00606017006.
- Hernández-Verdugo S, Guevara-González RG, Rivera-Bustamante RF, Oyama K. 2001b. Screening wild plants of *Capsicum annum* for resistance to *Pepper huasteco virus* (PHV): Presence of viral DNA and differentiation among populations. *Euphytica* **122**: 31-36. DOI: 10.1023/A:1012624830340.
- Hutton SF, Scott JW, Shekasteband R, Levin I, Lapidot M. 2015. Combination of Ty resistance genes generally provide more effective control against *Begomovirus* than do single genes. Proc. IVth IS on Tomato Diseases. *Acta Horticulturae* **1069**: 59-64. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2015.1069.7.
- León-Galván F, Joaquín-Ramos AJ, Torres-Pacheco I, Barba de la Rosa AP, Guevara-Olvera L, González-Chavira MM. 2011. A germin-like protein gene (CchGLP) of *Capsicum chinense* Jacq. is induced during incompatible interactions and displays Mn-superoxide dismutase activity. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **12**: 7301-13. DOI: 10.3390/ijms12117301.
- Mejía-Teniente L, Joaquín-Ramos AJ, Torres-Pacheco I, Rivera-Bustamante RF, Guevara-Olvera L, Rico-García E, Guevara-González RM. 2015. Silencing of a Germin-Like Protein Gene (CchGLP) in Geminivirus-Resistant Pepper (*Capsicum chinense* Jacq.) BG-3821 Increases Susceptibility to Single and Mixed Infections by Geminiviruses PHYVV and PepGMV. *Viruses* **7**: 6141-6151. DOI: 10.3390/v7122930.
- Morales FJ, Anderson PK. 2001. The emergence and dissemination of whitefly-transmitted geminiviruses in Latin America. *Archives of Virology* **146**: 415-441. DOI: 10.1007/s007050170153.
- Nakhla M, Sorensen A, Mejía L, Ramírez P, Karkashian J, Maxwell D. 2005. Molecular characterization of tomato-infecting begomoviruses in Central America and development of DNA-based detection methods. *Acta Horticulturae* **695**: 277-288. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2005.695.31.
- Oyama K, Hernandez-Verdugo S, Sanchez C, Gonzalez-Rodriguez A, Sanchez-Pena P, Garzon-Tiznado JA, Casas A. 2006. Genetic structure of wild and domesticated populations of *Capsicum annum* (Solanaceae) from northwestern Mexico analyzed by RAPDs. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **53**: 553-562. DOI: 10.1007/s10722-004-2363-1.
- Pacheco-Olvera A, Hernández-Verdugo S, Rocha-Ramírez RV, González-Rodríguez RA, Oyama K. 2012. Genetic diversity and structure of Pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) from northwestern Mexico analyzed by microsatellite markers. *Crop Science*. **52**: 231-241. DOI: 10.2135/cropsci2011.06.0319.
- Palmer EK, Rybicky EP. 1997. The use of geminiviruses in biotechnology and plant molecular biology with particular focus on mastreviruses. *Plant Science* **129**: 115-130. DOI: 10.1016/S0168-9452(97)00187-8.
- Park Ch-J, An JM, Shin YCh, Kim KJ, Lee BJ, Paek KH. 2004. Molecular characterization of pepper germin-like protein as the novel PR-16 family of pathogenesis-related proteins isolated during the resistance response to viral and bacterial infection. *Planta* **219**: 797-806. DOI: 10.1007/s00425-004-1290-x.
- Pickersgill B. 1997. Genetic resources and breeding of *Capsicum* spp. *Euphytica* **96**: 129-133
- Retes-Manjarrez JE, Hernández-Verdugo S, Pariaud B, Melgoza-Villagómez CM, Pacheco-Olvera A, Parra-Terrazas S, Garzón-Tiznado JA. 2016. Detección de resistencia al Virus huasteco vena amarilla del chile y su heredabilidad en genotipos silvestres de *Capsicum annum* L. *Interciencia* **41**: 541-547.
- Sanger F, Niklen S, Coulson AR. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain terminating inhibitors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **74**: 5463-5467.
- SAS Institute. 1999. SAS/STAT. User's Guide. Version 8, Vol. 1-5. SAS Publishing. Cary, N.C. 3848 p.
- Shankarappa K, Sriharsha S, Rangaswamy KT, Aswathanarayana DS, Prameela HA, Kulkarni RS, Muniyappa V, Rao AM, Maruthi MN. 2008. Development of tomato hybrids resistant to tomato leaf curl virus disease in South India. *Euphytica* **164**: 531-539. DOI: 10.1007/s10681-008-9750-1
- Torres-Pacheco I, Garzón-Tiznado JA, Brown JK, Becerra-Flora A, Rivera-Bustamante RF. 1996. Detection and distribution of geminiviruses in Mexico and the southern United States. *Phytopathology* **86**: 1186-1192.
- Trujillo-Aguirre J, Díaz-Plaza R. 1995. Obtención de cultivares de chile habanero con resistencia a virosis transmitida por mosca blanca. IV. Zamorano, Honduras: Taller latinoamericano sobre moscas blancas y geminivirus.
- Varma A, Malathi VG. 2003 Emerging geminivirus problems: A serious threat to crop production. *Annals of Applied Biology* **142**: 145-164. DOI: 10.1111/j.1744-7348.2003.tb00240.x.